

**Office of Independent Review  
Report of Oversight of Administrative Discipline Cases:  
January thru March 2004**

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>Subject (1), a supervisor, falsely accused Deputy (A) of having been involved in the death or disappearance of Deputy (B) and failed to supervise Subject (2).</p> <p>Subject (2), a Deputy, falsely accused Deputy (A) of having been involved in the death or disappearance of Deputy (B) and made false statements to Subject (1) about Deputy (A).</p> <p>See also OIR #020278 and #020279 in January 2003 Oversight Chart.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Subject (1): Founded as to Conduct Towards Others; Performance to Standards, &amp; Responsibility for Conduct of Subordinates and/or Unnecessary Interference.</p> <p>Subject (2): Founded as to Conduct Towards Others and/or Unnecessary Interference; and False Statements and/or Performance to Standards.</p>	<p>Subject (1): 15 days suspension Subject (2): Discharge</p>	<p>Subject (1): Letter of Intent Subject (2): Letter of Intent</p>	<p>Pending civil litigation.</p>
<p>Sergeant joined pursuit by other police agency though ordered to stay out, violates Code 3 policy, and failed to order deputies to desist.</p> <p>Two deputies joined above pursuit; failed to report immediately a collision with each other.</p>	<p>Investigation: At OIR request, additional witnesses interviewed. Charges: At OIR request, charges added. Findings: LASD concurrence. Discipline: LASD concurrence.</p>	<p>Founded Founded for both deputies.</p>	<p>Demotion 20 days suspension for each</p>	<p>Letter of Imposition Civil Service upheld Department. Sergeant resigned. Grievances denied. Both deputies appealed to Civil Service.</p>	
<p>Deputy used patrol car to bump fleeing suspect and make arrest.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough, including review of training materials recommended by OIR. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded</p>	<p>15 days suspension</p>	<p>Suspension reduced after grievance but discipline was not imposed due to command staff conclusion that one-year statute of limitations had expired. OIR does not concur with this procedure for resolving statute of limitations question.</p>	
<p>While off-duty, Subject Deputy gambled at a Las Vegas casino, consumed alcoholic beverages and celebrated victories. At one point, the casino staff advised Subject Deputy to refrain from using profanity to avoid offending other patrons and hotel staff. When Subject Deputy failed to comply, the hotel security officers were summoned. As security officers escorted Subject Deputy out of the casino, Subject Deputy allegedly battered one of the security officers. The hotel security officers then took Subject Deputy to the ground and arrested him for battery.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to Conduct Towards Others. Founded as to Disorderly Conduct. Founded as to General Behavior. Founded as to Derogatory Language.</p>	<p>5 days suspension</p>	<p>Letter of intent issued. Pending imposition of discipline.</p>	<p>D.A. declined battery prosecution.</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>Deputy (1) stopped and inappropriately searched female detainee. Deputy (1) learned that Deputy (2) falsely claimed to have made the arrest, yet took no immediate action to correct the false report. Deputy (1) made false statements to supervisors about the arrest. Deputy (2) prepared a false police report. Deputy (2) made false statements to supervisors about the arrest. Deputy (2) failed to properly secure arrestee in back of radio car.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough. Per OIR request, arrestee interviewed, subject deputies reinterviewed and additional potential victim located and interviewed. Charges: Appropriate. OIR suggested additional charges. LASD agreed. Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for both as to all charges.</p>	<p>Discharge for both</p>	<p>Skelly hearing did not result in change. Civil Service hearing completed as to Deputy (1). Civil Service hearing ongoing as to Deputy (2).</p>	<p>D.A. filed against Deputy (2) for filing false report. Deputy pleaded no lo contendre to delaying investigation on 04/10/02. D.A. declined to prosecute Deputy (1).</p>
<p>Subject Deputy (1) stopped the civilian complainant who was riding his motorcycle and Subject Deputy (2) arrived later to assist Subject Deputy (1). The civilian complainant alleged that by threatening him with arrest or bodily injury during the traffic stop, Subject Deputy (2) coerced the sale of the complainant's motorcycle for Subject Deputy (2)'s personal use and that Subject Deputy (1) assisted Subject Deputy (2) in this unlawful confiscation.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Subject Deputy (1): Founded as to Performance to Standards and/or General Behavior when Subject Deputy (1) failed to maintain control of his traffic stop and allowed Subject Deputy (2) to negotiate for the purchase of the motorcycle, assisted Subject Deputy (2) in the placement of the motorcycle in a patrol car and failed to learn from Subject Deputy (2) the disposition of the motorcycle.</p> <p>Subject Deputy (2): Founded as to Bribes, Rewards, Loans, Gifts, favors when during the traffic stop Subject Deputy (1) purchased the motorcycle. Founded as to Failure to Make Statements and/or Making False Statements During a Departmental Internal Investigation.</p>	<p>Subject Deputy (1): 15 days suspension  Subject Deputy (2): Discharge</p>	<p>Subject Deputy (1): Discipline imposed  Subject Deputy (2): Letter of intent. Pending imposition of discipline. Civil Service proceedings pending.</p>	<p>Subject Deputy (1): None  Subject Deputy (2):</p>
<p>Civilian employee alleged that following an evening out drinking with Subject Deputy, another Department member and his wife, the four returned to Subject Deputy's residence. The employee alleged that after an argument with Subject Deputy and while the employee was trying to leave the residence, Subject Deputy punched the employee in the upper torso several times causing rib fracture. The employee alleged that others witnessed the battery.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to Conduct Toward Others and General Behavior. Founded as to Failure to Report Incident to Supervisor.</p>	<p>5 days suspension</p>	<p>Letter of intent. Pending imposition of discipline.</p>	<p>D.A. declined to prosecute the case.</p>
<p>Deputy, after months at home (IOD), misrepresented his condition to his supervisor as totally disabling. Surveillance showed that during this period, he sold foodstuffs from his car, despite being ordered not to do so.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough. OIR requested supplemental interview to determine whether Subject had made false statements to a supervisor. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR recommended discharge. OIR does not oppose settlement agreement and stringent conditions because of potential problems of proof.</p>	<p>Founded</p>	<p>Per March 2004, settlement agreement 30 days suspension, transfer to Custody Division for three years. Any further founded violations will result in discharge.</p>	<p>Follow-up investigation requested by Regional executive prior to imposition of discipline. Findings and discipline may be reconsidered.</p>	<p>D.A. reject</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Deputy on patrol followed a female who lived outside of his patrol area and outside LA county. Deputy followed her into her apartment, looked into each room and asked if she was alone. Deputy asked for glass of water and gave her his work phone number. He asked her to call, hugged her, rubbed her back and sniffed her hair.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence with discharge	Founded as to Performance to Standards, General behavior, False Statements, and Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders.	Discharge overturned by civil service hearing officer	Civil service hearing officer upheld founded finding on all charges, but reduced discipline from discharge to 25 days. Appeal to five member Civil Service Commission pending.	Rejected by D.A.'s office.
Deputies approached a vehicle at a gas station for expired registration. The driver admitted to not having a valid license. While Deputy (1) spoke with the driver, Deputy (2) contacted the passenger, the driver's teenage son. Deputy (2) attempted to detain the passenger in the backseat of the patrol car while Deputy (1) completed his investigation. At this point, police officers from a local police agency arrived. Deputy (2) and the officers struggled with the passenger at the rear of the patrol car. Deputy (2) and the officers took the passenger to the ground, struggled with him there, and then handcuffed him. Meanwhile, Deputy (1) took the driver to the ground. After the passenger was cuffed, he was lifted off the ground by officers as captured on videotape. The officers of the local police agency are alleged to have slammed the passenger into the trunk of the car and punched him.	Investigation: Adequate. OIR interacted frequently with the responsible IAB investigators to ensure that all allegations that had been made were addressed by the investigation. Charges: Appropriate after OIR input. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence  Case presented to Executive Force Review on 03/06/03.	Deputy (1): Founded for Performance to Standards for inadequate observations of events  Deputy (2): Unresolved for Performance to Standards	Deputy (1): 10 days suspension  Deputy (2): N/A	Grievances by deputies are pending	Criminal charges were filed against two of the officers from the local police agency. One officer acquitted. Other officer's case resulted in mistrial. The two deputies have not been charged. A civil lawsuit was filed.
Deputy (1) and (2) were married. Deputy (1) had been drinking. Argument ensued. Deputy (1) alleged spouse scratched his face. Deputy (2) alleged spouse's punch caused lump on her hand.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: Based on past prior sustained conduct, OIR concurred with discharge recommendation of Deputy (1). OIR concurred with unresolved recommendation for Deputy (2).	Deputy (1) founded as to Family Violence and General Behavior. Unresolved as to Deputy (2).	Discharge as to Deputy (1).  Deputy (2): N/A	Pending civil service hearing as to Deputy (1).	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>Deputies used reasonable force including a baton and O.C. spray on Suspect.</p> <p>Suspect was held at the sub-station for approximately 4 hours before he was transported to the station. While at the sub-station, Suspect was acting erratically and kicking holes in the room he was being held in. Suspect indicated he has PTSD and takes medication, but had not taken it that evening.</p> <p>Suspect was examined by emergency medical personnel at the substation and then was taken directly to the station without going to a hospital for an ok to book. When the day Watch Commander spoke with the suspect at 0732, he discovered Suspect had not gone to the hospital. Suspect was transported to hospital at 0933 hours.</p> <p>Subject was the supervisor at the sub-station on the night of the incident. Subject was told by the night Watch Commander to have Suspect treated at a hospital and failed to do so.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: The charges against Subject were appropriate. However, OIR's opinion is that both Watch Commanders should also have been added as subjects. The night commander for failure to supervise; the day commander to determine the reason for the nearly 2 hour lapse between when he learned Suspect had to go to the hospital and when the transport happened. OIR chose not to press this issue above the level of Executive Force Review. Findings: OIR concurrence as to this subject. Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Performance to Standards , Care of Prisoners, Reporting Force (which includes the need for medical treatment)</p>	<p>3 days suspension</p>	<p>Grievance granted, leaving charges as founded but reducing to no discipline.</p>	
<p>Deputy (1) allegedly coerced suspect into signing a consent to search waiver after deputies illegally searched the location. Deputy (2) allegedly falsely documented the events in the arrest report, at the direction of Deputy (1). Both Deputies (1) and (2) then testified regarding the events repeating the alleged falsities.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate after some discussion. Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded for Performance to Standards, Duties of Deputies, Reporting Information, Obedience to Laws, Regulations &amp; Orders, False Statements, False Information in Records and False Statements in a Departmental investigation. Unresolved for Warrantless Arrest in Dwellings</p> <p>Deputy (2) Founded for Performance to Standards, Duties of Deputies, and False Statements in a Departmental investigation. Unfounded for False Statements in Records and Performance to Standards relating to courtroom testimony</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Discharge Deputy (2): 15 days suspension</p>	<p>Deputy (1) has appealed discipline to the Civil Service Commission.  Deputy (2): Grievance was denied. Deputy has appealed to Civil Service Commission.</p>	<p>D.A. declined to file charges because believed criminal conduct could not be proven beyond a reasonable doubt and other provable conduct was not criminal.</p>
<p>Four deputies forcibly removed an inmate from cell without proper authorization. Inmate cut one of them in the ensuing struggle. One deputy reported falsely about the incident.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate after input from OIR and Executive Force Review Committee panel. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p> <p>Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 05/08/03 for disposition.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded for Obedience to Laws and Failure to Report Use of Force</p> <p>Deputy (2): Founded for Obedience to Laws, Regulations &amp; Orders</p> <p>Deputy (3): Unfounded</p> <p>Deputy (4): Unfounded</p>	<p>Deputy (1): 15 days suspension Deputy (2): 5 days suspension Deputy (3): N/A Deputy (4): N/A</p>	<p>Pending Grievance.  15 days suspension imposed in January 2004.</p>	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>Two deputies had argued upon occasion. One deputy then attacked the other without provocation and punched the other deputy twice in the face. The victim deputy declined to prosecute.</p>	<p>OIR did not monitor this case until final decision on punishment. Investigation: N/A Charges: N/A Findings: N/A Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Obedience to Laws, Regulations &amp; Orders, Workplace violence and Performance to Standards</p>	<p>30 days suspension</p>	<p>Pending grievance hearing.</p>	<p>D.A. declined to file assault charges, 04/30/02.</p>
<p>Subject Deputy told Department personnel that he had permission from Subject Sergeant to take ten life vests, which were County property. After receiving the vests, Subject Deputy sold three vests separately on e-Bay. Pursuant to Subject Sergeant's request, Subject Deputy removed a fourth vest from e-Bay and returned the remaining seven vests to the County. Subject Deputy never asked for, or received, permission to take the life vests for his personal use. Subject Sergeant failed to document properly the serial numbers of the life vests and such inaction created, in part, an opportunity for the loss of three life vests.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. OIR requested additional witness interviews. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence regarding the originally recommended discipline for Subject Deputy and with the reduction of the discipline to a 20-day suspension; however, OIR was not consulted and did not concur with the decision to hold 10 days in abeyance for Subject Deputy, pursuant to a settlement agreement that included strict consequences for misconduct. LASD concurrence regarding the originally recommended discipline for Subject Sergeant; however, OIR was not consulted and did not occur with the decision to reduce the discipline to a written reprimand for Subject Sergeant.</p>	<p>Founded as to Subject Deputy for (1) General Behavior; (2) Care of County Property and Equipment and/or Misappropriation of County Property; and (3) False Statements and/or Making False Statements During Departmental Internal Investigation</p> <p>Founded as to Subject Sergeant for (1) Care of County Property and Equipment; and (2) Performance to Standards</p>	<p>Subject Deputy: Discharge</p> <p>Subject Sergeant: 3 days suspension</p>	<p>Letters of Intent were issued.</p> <p>Subject Deputy: After Skelly hearing, the original recommended discipline was reduced to 20-day suspension with 10 days held in abeyance. Discipline imposed.</p> <p>Subject Sergeant: After Skelly hearing, the original recommended discipline was reduced to a written reprimand. Discipline imposed.</p>	
<p>This investigation pertains to an on-duty incident where a custody assistant was allegedly notified of inappropriate conduct between a female deputy and a female inmate, but failed to notify a Department supervisor of this information. A female inmate who witnessed the inappropriate conduct between the deputy and the involved inmate and who had notified the custody assistant, brought this information to the attention of another deputy who then notified a Department supervisor.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurred Discipline: OIR concurred in original discharge recommendation.</p>	<p>Deputy: Founded as to Fraternization with inmates and General Behavior.</p> <p>C/A: Founded as to Performance to Standards</p>	<p>Deputy: Discharge</p> <p>C/A: 1 day suspension</p>	<p>Deputy: Post Skelly, Department settled case reducing discipline to 30days.</p> <p>C/A: Imposed</p>	
<p>It is alleged that Deputies (1) and (2) made illegal entry and search of suspect's residence. Deputy (1) located narcotics then allegedly coerced suspect into signing a consent to search form by choking him with his baton. It is further alleged that the deputies filed a false police report documenting the incident and gave untruthful testimony during court proceedings. The arrest resulted from a narcotics investigation based on an anonymous informant.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Adequate after OIR input Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputies (1) &amp; (2) founded for Obedience to Laws, Reporting Information, Performance to Standards, Vice/Narcotics Duties, and Use of Informants. Unresolved for False Statements and False Information in Records.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): 15 days suspension</p> <p>Deputy (2): 8 days suspension</p>	<p>Letter of Intent</p> <p>Grievances pending.</p>	<p>D.A. declined to file criminal charges.</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>After a vehicle pursuit, LASD personnel ordered a suspect from his car. A woman and another man approached the suspect's car. LASD personnel ordered the man and woman away from the suspect's car. The suspect waved a handgun toward the man, woman and LASD personnel. The man left the suspect's car, and the woman refused. Subject Sergeant ordered LASD personnel to fire one stun bag round at the woman. The stun bag round struck the woman and caused her to fall to the ground. Immediately after the deployment of the stun bag round, other LASD personnel fired at the suspect.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurred Discipline: OIR concurred</p> <p>Executive Force Review Committee reviewed the case twice. Initially, with OIR's concurrence, Executive Force Review Committee ordered further investigation of Subject Sergeant. Subsequently, Executive Force Review Committee found that Subject Sergeant's conduct violated Department policy.</p>	<p>Founded as to Performance to Standards.</p>	<p>Subject: 8 days suspension</p>	<p>Letter of intent issued.</p>	<p>D.A. declined to prosecute.</p>
<p>Deputy responded to area of "man with a gun" call. When he arrived, he searched the area and observed the suspect. While attempting to detain him, the suspect began to retrieve what appeared to be a handgun from his waistband. The deputy shot and injured the suspect. It was later discovered that the handgun was a toy. The suspect was under the influence of tuolence at the time of incident.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough</p> <p>Executive Force Review on 01/29/04. Panel concluded use of force within policy and no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.</p>				
<p>Deputies fire as suspect backs out of driveway and flees. Suspect sustained minor injuries and was subsequently arrested after a containment. See also OIR #020012.</p>	<p>At Executive Force Review Committee meeting of 09/ 25/03, Panel adopted OIR's recommendation that both deputies be made the subject of a formal administrative investigation into the shooting incident.</p>	<p>Investigation still pending, based on OIR's request for a re-interview of the subject deputies.</p>			<p>D.A. reject, July 2003</p>
<p>Deputies encountered a man carrying a handgun in his right hand. As the armed man ran, he fired one round from his gun. Subject Deputy pointed his weapon at the man and ordered him to stop. As the man reached towards his waistband, Subject Deputy fired two rounds. Both rounds missed the man whom deputies took into custody without further incident. Near the location from where the deputies heard the initial gunshot, they recovered a .38 caliber revolver.</p>	<p>Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 01/29/04. The Panel found that the shooting was within policy. OIR concurred.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>	
<p>Deputies stopped stolen vehicle. Suspect exited vehicle pointing gun at deputies. Deputies fire at suspect, killing him.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review</p>				<p>D.A. reject 07/31/03</p>
<p>Vehicle stopped for traffic violation. Suspect fled on foot. During pursuit of suspect, suspect aimed gun at deputies. Deputy fired, striking suspect once and killing him.</p>	<p>During the initial Homicide investigation, OIR recommended further interviews of the shooting deputy based on forensic evidence that the trigger pull of the gun had been altered. Administrative investigation is now underway.</p>				<p>D.A. declined the case in December 2003.</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Deputies observed car jacking. Two suspects noticed deputies and ran. Deputies followed suspects to alley where suspects took out weapons and fired at patrol car. Both deputies jumped out and returned fire, killing both suspects.	Executive Force Review on 01/29/04. No further investigation necessary. OIR concurs.				
Subject Deputy detained two men regarding a “vandalism now” call. A sergeant responded to Subject Deputy’s request for back-up and a taser. Upon the sergeant’s arrival, Subject Deputy spoke briefly to the men and released them without obtaining any identification. Subject Deputy then allegedly made a false statement to the sergeant, saying he had obtained the men’s identification.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: Pending unit review Discipline: Pending unit review	Pending unit review			
Subject Deputy arrested a man and then failed to search properly the arrestee’s property. Upon arrestee’s transfer to an LASD custody facility, deputies found drugs, drug paraphernalia, money and a lighter in the arrestee’s bulk property.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: Pending unit review Discipline: Pending unit review	Pending unit review			
Between May 1, 2001 and August 22, 2001, approximately \$3,500 was stolen from the petty cash drawer. In October 2001, after being ordered not to take any cash at the service station, a LET allegedly took cash.	Investigation: Adequate, after additional interviews requested by OIR Charges: Appropriate after OIR input Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	LET: Founded for Safeguarding Money and Obedience to Orders  Lt.: Founded for Supervisory Responsibilities  CSA: Founded for Safeguarding Money and Reporting Information  Sgt.: Unfounded	LET: Discharge  Lt.: 7 days suspension  CSA: 30 days suspension  Sgt.: N/A	LET: Imposed. Appealed to Civil Service Commission.  Lt.: Modified to 4 days suspension with 2 days held in abeyance  CSA: Grievance pending	The D.A. declined to file criminal charges due to insufficient evidence.
During an investigation for possible driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, LASD personnel and Los Angeles County Fire Department Paramedics found Subject Deputy slumped over the wheel of his car in the middle of the street. Subject Deputy was belligerent and uncooperative with both Fire Department paramedics and LASD personnel and refused to provide a breath, urine or blood sample. Subject Deputy was arrested for DUI.	Investigation: Adequate; inactivated Charges: Appropriate Findings: N/A Discipline: N/A	See OIR #020508	See OIR #020508		D.A. declined to prosecute.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>A vehicle was carjacked by two males. As the handling deputy was putting out her crime broadcast, a nearby unit spotted the suspect vehicle. As the second patrol unit was advising others they followed the suspect vehicle, the suspects suddenly slowed the car, jumped out and ran, splitting up. Deputies began a foot pursuit of the passenger suspect. This suspect ran to a driveway where further running was blocked by a fence. The suspect, who was facing away from Deputy (1), made movements at his waistband, then lunged forward. Believing the suspect had armed himself, Deputy (1) fired one round. The suspect was not hit and jumped over a fence into an adjoining yard. Deputy (1) followed him, but his partner, Deputy (2) did not. Deputy (2) ran around to the front of the yard. Eventually the suspect was taken into custody.</p>	<p>On 07/24/03, this shooting was reviewed by the Executive Force Review Committee. The Committee recommended that an administrative investigation be commenced regarding whether Deputy (1) and Deputy (2) performed to the expected standards. OIR concurred.</p> <p>On 12/11/03, the Executive Force Review Committee reviewed the administrative investigation. The use of force was found to be within policy.</p> <p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded for Performance to Standards and Obedience to Laws, Regulations &amp; Orders.</p> <p>Deputy (2): Founded for Performance to Standards and Obedience to Laws, Regulations &amp; Orders.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): 2 days suspension</p> <p>Deputy (2): 1 day suspension</p>		
<p>Deputy was working in plain clothes as part of the surveillance operation which was targeting commercial burglaries. He interrupted a burglary in progress and one of the suspects attempted to run him over with a van. Deputy shot at the suspect van. Based on the initial observations of the scene, it appeared as though Deputy fired at the suspect vehicle as it was fleeing. Sergeant was responsible for planning and implementing the operation.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. OIR corrected some inaccurate summaries and discussed use of leading questions with investigator Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p> <p>This case was reviewed by the Executive Force Review Committee on 07/24/03.</p>	<p>Deputy: Executive Force Review Committee recommended founded for Performance to Standards. Unresolved for Use of Deadly Force. Unit commander found violation of Performance to Standards.</p> <p>Sergeant: Executive Force Review Committee recommended founded for Performance to Standards. Unit commander found violations of Performance to Standards, Supervisor's Responsibility, and Manner of Giving Orders.</p>	<p>Deputy: 2 days suspension</p> <p>Sergeant: 5 days suspension</p>	<p>Deputy: Grievance pending.</p> <p>Sergeant: Pursuant to settlement agreement, 3 of 5 days held in abeyance.</p>	
<p>Deputy was the subject of a random drug urinalysis. The test result showed the presence of Methamphetamine and metabolized Amphetamine in a concentration to indicate that Deputy was possibly using Methamphetamine. Deputy was relieved of duty and told to remain at home.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate, but investigation into subject's claim of accidental ingestion should have been more thorough. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded</p>	<p>Discharge</p>	<p>Pending Civil Service hearing</p>	
<p>Subject Nurse documented Subject Doctor's orders of complete blood count and urinalysis. Neither Subject Nurse nor Subject Doctor placed this order into the computer. Subject Doctor examined an inmate patient who had low blood pressure and was previously diagnosed with a history of hypertension and Subject Doctor documented in the medical records "doubt hypertension." Despite the previous diagnosis and low blood pressure reading, Subject Doctor diagnosed inmate patient with upper respiratory infection and failed to order additional tests and medication.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Subject Nurse: Unfounded as to (1) Performance to Standards; and/or (2) Obedience to Laws, Orders and Regulations</p> <p>Subject Doctor: Founded as to (1) Performance to Standards; (2) Obedience to Laws, Orders and Regulations; and/or (3) Safeguarding Persons in Custody</p>	<p>Subject Nurse: N/A</p> <p>Subject Doctor: 3 days suspension</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>Subject Doctor: Letter of Intent (02/17/04)</p>	



Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>While on routine patrol, Subject Deputies attempted to make a traffic stop of a civilian's car for code violations. The civilian left his car, and Subject Deputy (1) tackled the civilian and fell on top of him. Subject Deputy (2) fractured the civilian's ribs by intentionally placing his knee in the civilian's back. Subject Deputies dragged the civilian on the pavement and caused him facial injuries.</p>	<p>Case originally presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 1/8/04. Consistent with OIR's recommendation, the Panel ordered further investigation, including interviews of Subject Deputies.</p> <p>Case presented a second time to Executive Force Review Committee on 2/12/2004. The Panel found that Subject Deputies' use of force was within policy. OIR concurred. With OIR's concurrence, the Panel recommended continuing professional training.</p> <p>Investigation: Thorough. Charges: Appropriate Findings: N/A Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>Unauthorized pursuit at high speed without appropriate lights or siren. Patrol car hit an uninvolved vehicle, then crashed into the shoulder.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Dangerous Driving and Unauthorized Pursuit</p>	<p>15 days suspension</p>	<p>Following grievance, suspension amended to 12 days with 3 days held in abeyance.</p>	
<p>Subject was involved in a traffic collision wherein he rear-ended a vehicle stopped for a red light. During their exchange of information, subject provided the other party with a false address, phone number, auto insurance, CDL, etc. During a subsequent investigation by police, it was discovered that subject had deliberately changed at least one number in each category that he provided to the other party. When confronted about this, subject provided false statements to detectives.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to General Behavior, False Statements, Failure to Make Statements, Obstructing an Investigation, and Cooperation During Criminal Investigation.</p>	<p>Discharge</p>	<p>Pending Civil Service hearing.</p>	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>Off-duty deputies stopped at a late-night fast food restaurant on the way home from a hockey game and some beer drinking. A customer at the restaurant picked a fight with one of the deputies and tried to punch him. All four deputies piled on to the customer and subdued him with punches and stomps. Deputy (4) then left and stood outside the restaurant. The customer was still on the floor. Deputy (1) renewed his attack on the customer and Deputies (2) and (3) joined in. Another customer attempted to intervene and separate the deputies from the customer and was pushed up against a wall by Deputy (1) and told to leave. Deputy (1) renewed the attack on the customer on the floor once again and Deputy (2) joined in. Uniformed deputies arrived, allowed the injured customer to leave and later wrote a report with no identification of the injured customer. The four off-duty deputies later stated that the injured customer had escaped their grasp before uniformed deputies arrived. Injured customer was never found or identified.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate as to the named deputies. OIR disagrees with decision in early phase of investigation to focus primarily on the four off-duty subjects because of difficulty identifying uniformed subjects. This occurred before OIR oversight (1999), and this area of investigation is currently barred by statute of limitations. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence with OIR Discipline: LASD concurrence with OIR</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Retired IOD from unrelated injury.</p> <p>Deputy (2): Founded for Unreasonable Force, False Statements, and Failure to Report Force</p> <p>Deputy (3): Founded for False Statements and Failure to Report Force. Unresolved for Unreasonable Force.</p> <p>Deputy (4): Founded for False Statements. Unfounded for Unreasonable Force and Failure to Report Force.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): N/A</p> <p>Deputy (2): Discharge</p> <p>Deputy (3): Discharge</p> <p>Deputy (4): Discharge</p>	<p>Pending Civil Services appeals by Deputies (2), (3) and (4). Hearings commenced 02/2004. Ongoing.</p>	<p>D.A. evaluation was delayed for three years because of appellate litigation over disclosure to D.A. of original police reports held by subjects' attorneys. D.A. ultimately prevailed but rejected case.</p>
<p>Deputy stopped a motorist who was apparently intoxicated and had damaged her vehicle, driving with only the rim on the right front side. The motorist identified herself as an employee of a County Supervisor and a personal friend of Captain (1). During the stop, Captain (1) and she were in contact through her cell phone. The motorist gave the cell phone to the Deputy with Captain (1) on it. Captain (1) allegedly interceded on the motorist's behalf and intimidated the Deputy. Captain (1) later spoke with a Field Sergeant and arrived at the location to assist with dealing with the motorist, who refused to allow the various field personnel to drive her home. Deputy relayed the events to Lieutenant, the watch commander, as they occurred. Lieutenant informed his captain, Captain (2).</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough after follow-up suggested by OIR Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR &amp; LASD concurrence after discussions.</p>	<p>Captain (1): Founded for Manner of Exercising Authority, General Behavior, Conduct Towards Others, Derogatory Language, False Statements, and Reporting Information.</p> <p>Captain (2): Founded for Performance to Standards</p> <p>Lieutenant: Founded for Performance to Standards</p>	<p>Captain (1): Demotion</p> <p>Captain (2): 5 days suspension</p> <p>Lieutenant: 5 days suspension</p>	<p>Captain (1): Entered into a settlement agreement allowing retirement and removing founded charge for false statements. OIR concurred in allowing retirement but objected to modification of charges.</p>	
<p>Deputies confronted juvenile suspects coming out of a residential burglary. One of the juveniles ran, jumped a fence, allegedly took a gun out of his waistband and pointed it at a deputy, who fired three to four times, striking the juvenile in the hand. The juvenile fled, allegedly dumped the gun in a trash can and was eventually found, bitten and apprehended by a canine unit. Juvenile alleged he never possessed a gun. Adult co-conspirator of juvenile admitted he, not the juvenile, dumped the gun in the trash can.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Committee Review.</p>				<p>D.A. reject.</p>
<p>A nurse in the medical module was informed that two inmates were plotting to plant cocaine on her. She informed a supervisor who arranged to have the inmates' hospital bed areas searched. One inmate appeared to grab and swallow an object when the search began, struggled with deputies. Inmate and deputies fell to the floor. Inmate may have incurred a fracture to his wrist during this incident.</p>	<p>Presented at Executive Force Review on 02/26/04. Panel found no apparent policy violation. OIR concurs.</p>				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Deputies stopped possible suspect in a shooting incident. Suspect turned and reached for his waistband, and Subject Deputy fired one round at suspect.	Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 11/17/03. The Panel concluded that there was no policy violation. OIR concurred; however, consistent with OIR's recommendation, the Panel found that Subject Deputy should receive appropriate training.	N/A	N/A		
Mentally unbalanced man was armed with a rifle. Trailer park was evacuated. Negotiations and gas produced no effect. After several hours, the man appeared at the door, pointed rifle at deputies who shot at him. He retreated into trailer then came out unarmed, sat down and did not respond further. Man pronounced dead at hospital.	Pending Executive Force Review				D.A. Declination, 02/02/04.
Deputy responding to a burglar alarm saw a man who fit a recent homicide suspect's description. He ordered the man to approach. Instead, the man crouched, reached for his waistband, turned away, turned back and raised what appeared to be a gun. The deputy fired one shot, fatally wounding the man.	Pending Executive Force Review.				D.A. Declination, 12/03/03.
Deputies and sergeant responded to a domestic dispute call, were met at the door by wife who said her husband is despondent, has a gun, and went out the back. They searched the back yard and found husband sitting on the floor of a shed holding a gun. They backed away, took cover and ordered the husband to put the gun down and come out. Husband did not respond. The deputies and sergeant saw a muzzle flash and returned fire hitting the husband once in the thigh. He surrendered.	Executive Force Review on 03/25/04. No further investigation called for. OIR concurrence.				
Deputy in a radio car attempted to stop a juvenile on a bicycle for riding on sidewalk at night with no lights. Juvenile continued riding and pulled a handgun out of his pocket. Deputy intentionally bumped back wheel of the bicycle, causing juvenile to fall against a fence, drop the gun and break his finger.	Executive Force Review on 01/08/04. No further investigation called for, but panel requested training on tactical officer safety issues. OIR concurs.				
<p>Subject Nurse (1) was in contact with an inmate during pill call. During that contact, the inmate requested a certain type of medication, and Subject Nurse (1) told the inmate that she would place him on the medical doctors' line regarding that request. There is no reference in the inmate's medical chart documenting either the inmate's request or Subject Nurse (1)'s response. However, a deputy documented Subject Nurse (1)'s response.</p> <p>On the same day, Subject Nurse (2) was in contact with the inmate. During that contact, the inmate complained of rapid heart beat. Subject Nurse (2) recorded the inmate's blood pressure. There is no reference in the inmate's medical chart documenting the inmate's complaint of rapid heart beats or blood pressure.</p>	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	<p>Subject Nurse 1: Unfounded as to (1) Safeguarding of Persons in Custody; and (2) Performance to Standards</p> <p>Subject Nurse 2: Unresolved as to (1) Safeguarding of Persons in Custody; and (2) Performance to Standards</p>	<p>N/A</p> <p>N/A</p>		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Subject Civilian Employee falsified time/attendance records and misused official letterhead.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to (1) Performance to Standards; (2) False Information in Records; (3) Employees Signature on Payroll Records; (4) Daily/Weekly Time Sheet; (5) Use of Department Letterhead; and (6) Signatures Permitted.	Subject: Discharge	Discharge approved at Case Review.  Letter of intent issued.  Discipline imposed on 02/06/04.	D.A. is reviewing case for consideration of filing criminal charges.
Deputies were investigating a stolen vehicle report and located the vehicle parked on the street. Deputies noticed two male Hispanics laying in the front seat of a car which was near the stolen vehicle. When Deputy (1) approached the left front corner of the suspect's vehicle, the driver sat up, started the car, revved the engine and put the car in drive. Deputy (1), believing he was about to be struck by the suspect's car, jumped on top of the hood. As the car continued, he rolled off the hood and landed on his knees in the street in front of the vehicle as it continued towards him. Surrounding deputies and Deputy (1) each shot at the suspect, all believing Deputy (1) was about to be run over. Suspect driver was pronounced dead at the scene. The suspect passenger was transported to hospital and was listed in critical condition.	Investigation: Thorough  Executive Force Review on 03/25/04. Panel concluded use of force within policy, but tactics of Deputy (1) could have been better. Training recommended for all involved deputies. OIR concurrence.				D.A. declination
Suspect abandoned car after short vehicle pursuit and attempted to escape over a fence. Deputy grabbed him. In the ensuing struggle, suspect reached for Deputy's gun and Deputy shot once, killing suspect.	Homicide investigation complete. Review of the shooting and tactics (including possible partner splitting issue) is pending.				D.A. reject in December of 2003. Civil lawsuit is pending.
Two deputies in separate vehicles responded to a call of an auto burglary in progress. As deputies walked down the long, narrow driveway of the location, two suspects began to drive right at them. Both deputies fired shots at the vehicle. Neither suspect was hit, and both were eventually apprehended.	Investigation is complete.  One deputy has left the Department for unrelated reasons.  Case is awaiting consideration by the Executive Force Review Committee (scheduled for April 2004).				
Suspect came out of residence with assault rifle and shot at two deputies responding to family disturbance call. One deputy returned fire, one round. Suspect retreated into street behind house and shot at patrol car driving toward scene. Third deputy returned fire. Suspect fled into a house a block away, entering it by jumping through a sealed rear window. Suspect came out of the house and gave himself up, bleeding profusely from glass cuts. No gunshot wounds to arrestee or deputies.	Executive Force Review on 01/29/04. No further investigation called for. OIR concurs.				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>Deputies (1) and (2) heard gunshots. Deputy (1) saw suspect running with a handgun. Deputy fired three rounds at suspect. Suspect then ran out of sight. Suspect was eventually arrested with no evidence of injury.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. OIR suggested additional questions to ask deputies in re-interviews. Charges: Appropriate. Consistent with OIR recommendations.</p> <p>Executive Force Review named Deputies (1) and (2) as subjects and both received recommended suspensions based on violation of Failure to Safeguard Prisoner and Performance to Standards for failing to communicate adequately to each other.</p>	<p>Founded for both deputies for Failing to Safeguard Persons and Performance to Standards.</p>	<p>5 days suspensions for both deputies.</p>	<p>Pending grievance.</p>	
<p>Deputies came upon parked vehicle. Deputy (1) exited from radio car and observed male passenger make suspicious movements, as if reaching for something. The passenger spun around in his seat and then raised his hands. Deputy fired one round into the van. Passenger was not struck by deputies' gunfire.</p>	<p>Executive Force Review Committee determined that force used was justified. Consistent with OIR recommendation, EFRC recommended that tactics leading to shooting be addressed with station briefing and laser village training.</p>				
<p>Deputy encountered suspect who matched the description of a carjack suspect. Deputy exited his vehicle and called the suspect. Suspect began running while clutching his waistband. Deputy saw a gun on the suspect. Deputy pursued in radio car and caught up with suspect. Suspect then turned and pointed his gun at deputy. Deputy fired four rounds striking suspect three times in leg. A gun was found in the vicinity of the suspect.</p>	<p>Executive Force Review Committee found that force was in policy. EFRC recommended station briefing. OIR concurred with EFRC recommendations.</p>				<p>D.A. declination.</p>
<p>Deputies heard gunshots. When they responded, they saw two persons carrying handguns. A short pursuit followed. Suspect vehicle spun out of control. Passenger got out of vehicle and pointed handgun at deputies. Deputy fired. Deputies saw passenger on his hands knees and reach into his waistband. Fearing a handgun, Deputy again fired. The back seat passenger got out of suspect vehicle and was observed trying to climb a gate. The backseat passenger reached back and pointed a gun at the deputies. Deputy fired one round. The driver was able to avoid apprehension. The back seat passenger was eventually apprehended. The front seat passenger sustained two gunshot wounds to the buttocks. Guns were found in the vicinity of the suspects.</p>	<p>Executive Force Review Committee determined that shooting was in policy. EFRC recommended debriefing/training with regard to escape of driver.</p>				<p>D.A. declination.</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Subject Deputy shot at suspect after the suspect attempted to run him over with his vehicle while fleeing a bank following a forgery.	<p>Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 02/26/04. The Panel found that the shooting was within policy; however, consistent with OIR's recommendation, the Panel concluded that Subject Deputy's tactics did not meet Performance to Standards. OIR concurred.</p> <p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	Founded as to Performance to Standards.	Subject Deputy: 3 days suspension		D.A. declined prosecution.
Two men attempted to steal a vacuum from a janitor's truck while he was cleaning the inside of a gas station mini mart. Store clerk saw suspect's actions and went outside and confronted suspects. Suspects threw vacuum at store clerk, who then ran inside store and locked doors. Suspects shouted at janitor and store clerk through front doors of mini mart while banging on glass doors with their belts. Off-duty deputy observed situation and decided to stop to render assistance. Deputy identified himself as a deputy sheriff and shouted to suspects to stop. Both suspects walked directly towards deputy, while separating from one another. One suspect produced pocket knife with blade exposed. Deputy fired one round from his weapon, striking one suspect in the chest. Other suspect ran off, but was apprehended within minutes by local police.	Force Review investigation ongoing.				D.A. declined to file, 03/2004.
Deputy was looking for two suspicious male suspects who had fled from him. Deputy then saw a male Hispanic walking towards him with a bicycle. Suspect dropped the bicycle, reached into his waistband and reversed direction, walking down the driveway away from deputy. Suspect disappeared behind a van while deputy took cover. The suspect reappeared pointing a handgun at Deputy. Deputy fired three rounds from his duty weapon. Suspect jumped over a small metal fence, threw his handgun down and ran to the rear yard. Deputy maintained his position and requested assistance. A containment was set up and the suspect was subsequently captured. Suspect sustained abrasions and lacerations while running from Deputy.	<p>Investigation: Thorough</p> <p>Executive Force Review on 02/26/04. Panel concluded shooting was within policy and no further investigation needed. OIR concurrence.</p>				
Suspect vehicle went in reverse to ram deputies' car. One deputy fired four rounds at driver, who had allegedly exited the vehicle and pointed a gun at the deputies. Suspects, who were apprehended later, were not hit.	Investigation is complete. Case is awaiting presentation to the Executive Force Review Committee. (scheduled for April 2004).				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Deputies responded to a burglary now call. En route deputies observed the suspect vehicle, which suddenly lost control and collided into the curb. Suspect (1) ran and jumped a series of razor wire fences into an industrial complex. Suspect (2) remained and was taken into custody without incident. Suspect (1) was subsequently located. He was bleeding profusely from deep laceration on his chest, legs and groin caused from jumping the razor wire fences.	Pending force investigation.				
Subject Deputies responded to a domestic call. Suspect was the ex-husband of the victim. Suspect left location before Subject Deputies' arrival, and Subject Deputies put out a broadcast with a description of suspect and suspect's van. Approximately ten minutes later, suspect is observed breaking a car's window near an intersection at a different location. Suspect fled the second location, and LASD personnel began a car pursuit of suspect. Suspect returned to ex-wife's residence and got out of his van. Suspect entered an open gate surrounding the residence and held a long metal pole. Suspect ignored commands to drop metal pole. Suspect raised metal pole at several deputies. Subject Deputies fired several rounds striking the suspect.	Pending presentation to Executive Force Review Committee				D.A. is reviewing case.
Deputy's careless mishandling of a domestic violence 9-1-1 call from a woman who was fatally stabbed in the course of the phone call caused probable delay in dispatching services.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Performance to Standards; Conduct Toward Others; & General Behavior.	Discharge	Letter of intent issued	
Deputies responded to an audible burglary alarm call. Upon arrival, deputies did not hear an alarm sounding but did observe two open windows at the front of the location. Deputy (1) was instructed to enter the location and unlock the front door. Deputy (2) was Deputy (1)'s training officer and allegedly failed to properly supervise by allowing the entry by Deputy (1). Deputy (3) was the handling officer who devised the tactics and allegedly failed to devise a safe search and proper communication. Deputy (4) allegedly failed to use best tactics and split from his partner. Deputies then entered the location. Deputy (5) walked towards the kitchen area. At the same time, Deputy (1) was moving into the kitchen through another hallway. Deputy (1) inched forward with his weapon pointed out in front of him. Deputy (5) saw a gun appear from behind the refrigerator. Deputy (5) fired one round from his duty weapon, striking the top portion of the refrigerator.	Executive Force Review Committee on 10/09/03. Committee determined use of force was within policy, but tactics fell below expected standards.  Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Deputy (2): Founded for Performance to Standards (tactics).  Deputy (3): Founded for Performance to Standards (tactics).  Deputy (4): Founded for Performance to Standards (tactics).  Deputy (5): Founded for Performance to Standards, (tactics).	Deputy (2): 2 days suspension  Deputy (3): 4 days suspension  Deputy (4): No discipline  Deputy (5): 3 days suspension	Deputy (2): Grievance pending.  Deputy (3): Grievance pending.  Deputy (5): Grievance pending.	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Inmate committed suicide in cell by hanging after returning from another facility for mental observation. Possible failure to perform required checks on inmate welfare.	Investigation initiated by IAB at OIR's request. Investigation: Adequate after OIR conferred with investigator. Charges: N/A Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unfounded	N/A		Custody Death Review
Subject Custody Assistant was involved in an off-duty physical altercation while attending a party. Subject punched victim in face causing two facial fractures	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded as to General Behavior and Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders	Discharge	Pending civil service hearing	Subject was charged and pled guilty to misdemeanor assault with a deadly weapon
While off-duty, Subject Deputy struck his wife in the forehead and pointed a .44 magnum handgun at her.	Investigation: N/A because the allegations were proven at a criminal trial. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders.	Subject: Discharged		D.A. prosecuted Subject Deputy, and Subject Deputy was convicted of battery against a spouse (misdemeanor) and assault with a firearm (felony).
Subject Deputy provided false information regarding the location of an arrest and a loaded firearm to another member of LASD for inclusion in the incident/arrest report.	Investigation: Substantial delay which prevented full consultation with OIR regarding discipline. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: No OIR concurrence. OIR recommended discharge.	Founded as to (1) Performance to Standards; (2) False Statements; and (3) Failure to Make Statements and/or Making False Statements During Departmental Internal Investigations.	Subject: 15 days suspension	Letter of intent issued	D.A. declined to prosecute.
Deputies conducted a pedestrian stop on suspect. A glass stimulant pipe fell out of the suspect's pants cuff. Suspect ran. Deputies tackled suspect and Sergeant arrived on scene to assist. Sergeant struck suspect twice on forearm and placed suspect's left arm in control hold. Suspect's left elbow is injured.	Pending Executive Force Review on 02/12/04 found no policies implicated. OIR agreed.				
Sergeant, subject's supervisor, found subject absent from her assigned work position. He left instructions for subject to call him as soon as she returned. Approximately thirty minutes later, Sergeant checked back at subject's work station and found she was still away. No one knew her whereabouts. Sergeant directed deputy personnel to attempt radio contact with subject to ascertain her location. Several attempts met with negative results. Sergeant became concerned for subject's safety.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Adequate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence in original 1-day suspension.	Founded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders, Failure to Make Statements, and Performance to Standards.	1 day suspension	After Skelly, Department settled case holding 1-day suspension in abeyance for 1 year.	



Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
This case involves use of force by two U.S. Marines and three deputies. The victim was a minor and a participant in a youth program, who suffered a fractured orbital allegedly due to one Marine's punches. Deputies use of force consisted of restraining minor.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Adequate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders, Performance to Standards and Failure to Report Force as to Deputies (2) & (3). Founded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders and Failure to Report Force as to Deputy (1).	Deputy (1): Written reprimand  Deputy (2): 7 days suspension  Deputy (3): 5 days suspension	Letter of intent	D.A. declined
Subject struck a telephone pole, knocking it over and onto his vehicle. Subject then drove his car from the scene without reporting to authorities until his car stopped working. He then called for a tow truck from his cell phone and had his car towed to his home. Fire Department responded to location due to power to the neighborhood being disrupted.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurred with unit commander's decision because of ambiguous medical evidence after further medical opinion sought. Discipline: N/A	Unresolved for General Behavior, Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders, and Reporting Information.			D.A. filed misdemeanor hit and run charge. Case dismissed by judge, over D.A.'s objection, after payment made in "civil compromise."
Deputy allegedly assaulted his spouse during an argument.	Investigation: Thorough after follow-up requested by unit and OIR. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unresolved			D.A. declined to file criminal charges.
Sergeant ordered deputy to deploy stun bag at suspect when other methods to end a confrontation had apparently failed. Deputy hit suspect in the face with stun bag, causing minor injuries to his mouth.	Case was presented to the Executive Force Review Committee in February of 2003. OIR agreed that actions of LASD were justified and in policy.	No further action required.			
Subject Deputy made threats against his ex-wife, a custody assistant.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unresolved as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders.	N/A		D.A. declined to prosecute.
Subject, a supervisor, is alleged to have taken a set of bolt cutters from a citizen during a traffic stop. Subject also took a wood, roll top desk home for personal use.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for General Conduct and Performance to Standards.	25 days suspension	Settlement reduced discipline to 15 days with OIR concurrence. Subject has a new assignment.	D.A. reject based on insufficient evidence.
An intoxicated patron at a crowded bar picked a fight with one of three off-duty deputies there and grabbed him around the neck. Deputy (1) wrestled the patron to the ground. Deputy (2) joined the fight on the floor and helped subdue the patron with punches to the patrons legs. Deputy (3) witnessed the fight but left the bar before police arrived to investigate. Immediately after the fight, Deputy (1) followed the patron as he was escorted out of the bar by a bouncer and punched him in the face, causing injury. Deputies (1) and (2) then left the scene without seeking medical attention for the patron or reporting the event to their supervisor. Deputies (1) and (2) later gave false statements to investigators.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Deputy (1): Founded for Unreasonable Force, Failure to Report Force, and Failure to Take Appropriate Action.  Deputy(2): Founded for Unreasonable Force, Failure to Report Force, Failure to Take Appropriate Action, and False Statements.  Deputy (3): Founded for Failure to Report Off-duty Incident.	Deputy (1): No discipline because deputy previously retired.  Deputy (2): 25 days suspension.  Deputy (3): Written reprimand	Deputy (2): Discipline imposed on 03/2004.  Deputy (3): Written Reprimand, 09/19/03	DA Reject

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Subjects were involved in a verbal argument while en route to subject (1)'s home from a bar. Once they reached his home, subject (1) grabbed subject (2) against her wishes and carried her into the house, preventing her from leaving. Inside, a struggle ensued. Neighbors called police. Subject (2) was arrested for domestic battery.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Deputy (1): Founded as to Obedience to Laws and Family Violence.  Deputy (2): Founded as to same above.	Deputy (1): 15 days suspension  Deputy (2): 7 days suspension	Grievance pending regarding each deputy.	D.A. declined.
Deputy allegedly used a racial slur against another police agency's supervisor. This occurred when the other police agency were called to Deputy's residence to check on well being of the resident.	Investigation: Thorough after additional investigation requested by OIR. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded	8 days suspension	Letter of Intent. Grievance pending.	
Subject employee, a doctor, examined a child and then failed to report child abuse as required by law. Days later infant died from injuries caused by additional child abuse.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to (1) General Behavior and Performance; and (2) False Statements and Failure to Make Statements and/or Making False Statements During Departmental Internal Investigations.	Discharge	Letter of intent issued on 12/03/03.  Resigned on 12/04/03.	D.A. declined to prosecute.
Subjects allegedly conducted routine cell search of inmate placed in separate module due to his prior aggressive conduct. A fight erupted between the deputies and the inmate. During the fight, the inmate sustained a small laceration on the back of his head. Involved inmate and witness inmate said Deputy (1) struck inmate on the head with a flashlight and spit on inmate. Deputies denied anyone struck inmate on head with flashlight. Deputy (1) reported seeing Deputy (2) spit on inmate. Deputy (1) failed to call for back up during and immediately after incident, and failed to maintain officer safety procedures. Each deputy failed to preserve evidence of inmate's blood on hallway floor.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Deputy (1): Founded as to Performance to Standards and General Behavior  Deputy (2): Founded as to Performance to Standards	Deputy (1): 3 days suspension  Deputy (2): 5 days suspension	Letter of imposition served.  Pending grievance.	
Use of force which resulted in a fracture of the suspect's nose during his arrest.	Investigation: Thorough. Discussed minor concerns with investigator.  Executive Force Review on 03/25/04. Panel concluded use of force within policy and no further investigation needed. Captain to counsel deputies about precision in documenting activities. OIR concurrence.				
Subject Deputies became involved in a use of force with a combative inmate, and during the use of force, the inmate suffered a fractured collar bone.	Case presented to Executive Force Review on 01/29/04. The Panel concluded that the use of force was within policy. OIR concurred.	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
While on duty and in uniform, Deputy allegedly used profanity when referring to an employee of the Superior Court and grabbed employee by shoulders, spinning her around.	Investigation: Witnesses to incident should have been, but were not interviewed. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR disagreed with level of discipline due to subject's prior founded allegation for placing gun to his head and threatening supervisor.	Founded as to General Behavior, Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders, and Conduct Towards Others.	10 days suspension, reduced to 7 days at Skelly.	Imposed	
Deputy assigned to work with juveniles sports program established an inappropriate personal relationship with a seventeen-year old female. On numerous occasions while on duty and in uniform, deputy made personal visits to the girl's home and place of employment. Deputy used his county vehicle and cell phone for personal use by repeatedly driving her and calling her. Deputy allegedly had sexual intercourse with the female in his office. After female complained, deputy was ordered not to contact her. However, deputy allegedly disobeyed and continued to do so, and falsified his daily log to falsely indicate he was at another location.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to Performance to Duty, Performance to Standards, General Behavior, Misappropriation of Property, Unauthorized Persons in County Vehicle, and Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders	Discharge. Skelly occurred. Pending civil service.		
Subject deputy is alleged to have entered into romantic relationship with a minor female Explorer Scout. Deputy was seen by civilians in shopping mall parking lot kissing minor. His sergeant responded to the scene, saw him and minor hugging, and ordered him to immediately report to the station. Subject did not report immediately to the station.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurred Discipline: OIR concurred in original 15 days suspension.	Founded as to General Behavior, False Statements, Attention to Duty and Performance to Standards	15 days suspension	Pending grievance. After Skelly, Department settled case reducing discipline to 10 days.	
Deputy allegedly wrote several personal checks that were returned due to insufficient funds.	Investigation inactivated due to resignation of deputy on 02/12/04.				
Subject Investigator used JDIC system numerous times to locate ex-girlfriend and subsequently made contact with her based on that information.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to Communications Equipment.	5 days suspension	Discipline imposed	
Subject Detective failed to follow procedures to safeguard personal property, i.e. live ammunition, seized during the execution of a search warrant.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to Performance to Standards.	Written reprimand	Discipline imposed	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>Subject Deputy (1) failed to arrest a parolee who was in violation of an active domestic violence restraining order which had been previously served on parolee by Subject Deputy (2). Subject Deputy (2) who witnessed Subject Deputy (1)'s failure neglected to take appropriate action. A day later, the parolee returned and threatened his estranged wife and engaged LASD personnel in a car pursuit and fired multiple rounds at pursuing deputies.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: no consultation with OIR before recommendation and imposition of discipline.</p>	<p>Subject 1: Founded as to Performance to Standards.  Subject 2: Founded as to Performance to Standards.</p>	<p>Subject (1): 5 days suspension; without consultation with OIR, LASD subsequently amended the original recommendation of discipline to 3 days suspension  Subject (2): 3 days suspension; without consultation with OIR, LASD subsequently amended the original recommendation of discipline to a written reprimand.</p>	<p>Letter of imposition issued.  Discipline imposed.</p>	
<p>Female deputy was called to assist other deputies in searching female detained in traffic stop. Subject female deputy admitted she adjusted and looked underneath underwear of female to search for narcotics. None found.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders</p>	<p>2 days suspension</p>	<p>Pending grievance.</p>	
<p>Subject did not assign a call to deputy for service. Subject was rude to caller on the telephone and did not create call for service when caller asked for a deputy to respond.  Note: Related to case OIR #020551.</p>	<p>Investigation ongoing.</p>				
<p>Subject Civilian Employee wrongfully cited complainant for a fare violation, was rude, insulting and confrontational and lied about previously warning complainant.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: no consultation with OIR before disposition Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>Unfounded as to (1) General Behavior; Conduct Towards Others; (2) False Statements; (3) Reporting Information; (4) Performance to Standards; and (5) False Information in Records.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>	
<p>Complainant brought her seventeen year old daughter to the station to file a report of unlawful sexual intercourse. Complainant said desk deputy told her it happens all the time and to get over it.  Note: Related to case OIR #020549.</p>	<p>Investigation ongoing.</p>				
<p>Subject Deputy approached suspect who had previously been reported as armed and when Subject Deputy made contact with the suspect, the two engaged in a struggle. During the struggle, the suspect tried to take Subject Deputy's firearm, and after pushing the suspect away, Subject Deputy fired five rounds fatally striking the suspect in his upper torso.</p>	<p>Pending presentation to Executive Force Review Committee.</p>				<p>D.A. is reviewing the case.</p>
<p>Subject Deputy responded to a suspicious person call and, on arrival, saw a man crouching on the side of a parked car. Subject Deputy demanded that the man show his hands. Without complying to those demands, the man reached for his waistband and began to run from Subject Deputy. After running a short distance, the man pulled a metallic object from his pants. Subject Deputy fired two rounds, and one of those rounds struck the man.</p>	<p>Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review</p>				<p>D.A. is reviewing the case.</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
While waiting to be released, inmate was involved in an altercation with another inmate. Subsequently, inmate allegedly became belligerent, demanding to be immediately released, kicked open cell door, striking deputy with it. Deputies tackled cuffed inmate, who suffered minor scratches.	Executive Force Review Committee found no policies implicated. OIR agreed.				
Detainee's finger dislocated during attempt to determine if detainee was domestic violence suspect whom deputies sought.	Investigation completed on March 2004. Pending evaluation.				
Two off-duty deputies followed a car off freeway whose occupants waved a gun at them. Suspects stopped and fired at deputies. One deputy fired back and attempted to pursue the car. No injuries.	Executive Force Review set for 04/22/04.				
Inmate suffered an orbital fracture, allegedly as a result of struggling with deputies. Incident allegedly began when inmate refused to cooperate with a deputy, pulled away and advanced toward the deputy.	Pending Executive Force Review				
After contact with Subject Deputies, two young intoxicated males were fatally struck by a civilian.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review				
During service of a search warrant on robbery suspect's residence, suspect jumps out of window, points gun at deputies and is fatally shot by deputies.	Investigation ongoing.				D.A. is reviewing the case.
Subject Deputies deployed a canine on a barricaded young man, who claimed to have a gun, and fatally shot the young man.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review				D.A. is reviewing the case.
Suspect killed after firing numerous shots at deputies.	Pending investigation.				D.A. declined March 2004.
An inmate struggled with deputies during transportation to another cell and several deputies joined the fight to get him handcuffed. Inmate sustained some injuries and claimed that the subject deputy had punched him in the face. Subject deputy had not reported this.	Pending unit commander evaluation. Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unfounded	N/A		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>A woman telephoned the station, spoke with Deputy (1) and requested assistance with recovering her property from her ex-boyfriend's house whom she feared might be hostile. Deputy (1) was discourteous and discouraging and told the caller that the press of emergency calls would mean hours of delay and advised her not to go into the location until deputies could go with her. Deputy (1) did not generate a call for service. The woman called back and spoke to Deputy (2) who generated a call for service and urged her not to go to the location without deputy assistance. The woman appeared to be cooperative but called back a short time later from the location and spoke to Deputy (3) before deputies could respond. Shortly thereafter, one of the males accompanying the woman was shot by her ex-boyfriend</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: Pending</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded for Performance to Standards.  Deputy (2) &amp; (3): Unfounded</p>	<p>Pending</p>		
<p>Allegations of fraternization and prohibited association by custody assistant. Initial information reported that subject may have provided illegal narcotics to an inmate and also be romantically involved with him.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Fraternization, Prohibited Association, and False Statements.</p>	<p>Discharge</p>	<p>Letter of Intent  Subject resigned 02/2004.</p>	
<p>It is alleged that Deputy became involved in a heated argument and committed battery on his wife, on a public street. Battery was witnessed by four witnesses.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded</p>	<p>5 days suspension</p>	<p>Letter of Intent  Grievance pending.</p>	<p>D.A. declined to file criminal charges.</p>
<p>Subject is alleged to have been off-duty and under the influence of alcohol at the time when he crashed his personal car. After the collision he left the scene and went home. While at home, he allegedly failed to report the accident.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. OIR obtained copy of preliminary hearing transcripts, which became part of investigation file. OIR worked with Department to ensure investigation was complete within one-year statute of limitations. Charges: Appropriate. OIR recommended adding charges, which were added. Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR disagreed and believed discharge was appropriate.</p>	<p>Founded as to General Behavior, Obedience to Laws, Regulations &amp; Orders, False Statements, Failure to Make Statements, Obstructing an Investigation, Cooperation During Criminal Investigation. OIR believed severity of offenses merited discharge.</p>	<p>Discharge reduced to 30 days suspension, post-Skelly.</p>	<p>Pending possible grievance.</p>	
<p>In response to a man with a gun call, Subject Deputies arrived at a donut shop. Inside the donut shop, the armed man reached toward his waistband, and Subject Deputy (1) fired two shotgun rounds and Subject Deputy (2) fired a single handgun round. Two rounds hit the armed man in the left shoulder and right arm. The armed man ran into the kitchen at the rear of the shop. Later, deputies recovered a handgun in the fryer.</p>	<p>Pending presentation to Executive Force Review Committee.</p>				<p>D.A. is reviewing this case.</p>
<p>Radio car used to chase suspect across open field. Physical force used to overcome resistance after suspect fell down. Suspect injured.</p>	<p>Executive Force Review on 10/23/03 extended to 01/08/04 at request of OIR for further investigation of officer safety and credibility issues. No further investigation called for after OIR concurs.</p>				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Two C/A's witnessed subject holding inmates hand, who had been removed from kitchen duties.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Fraternalization	Discharge	Skelly held. Discharge upheld. Grievance pending.	
Deputy allegedly spread taco sauce on an inmate's shirt in an attempt to replicate photographic blood evidence that had been lost.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: OIR concurrence after reaching consensus at the Assistant Sheriff level regarding the proper choice of charge to describe the misconduct. Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence		30 days suspension	Settlement agreement held significant days in abeyance, with OIR's concurrence.	D.A. reject
Civilian employee is alleged to have sent personal correspondence to a recently released inmate.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Use of Communications Equipments, Unresolved for Fraternalization, Prohibited Association.	Written Reprimand	Imposed	
It is alleged that subject failed to notify the Sheriff's Department of his petty theft (shoplifting) arrest and gave false employment information during the booking process. Subject was arrested for stealing \$138.46 worth of merchandise. During the booking process, subject indicated he was a self-employed accountant.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence  OIR's recommendation included a change in work responsibilities for the subject.	Founded for General Behavior, Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders, and False Statements.	21 days suspension	Settlement agreement involving community service led to all days being held in abeyance. OIR was not consulted.	Subject pled guilty to misdemeanor charge in August 2002.
It was alleged that the subject had filed a fraudulent injury claim and was going to school during the day time when she should have been at home recuperating from her alleged injury. After investigation, it was concluded that there were no grounds to pursue the allegations criminally.	Region requested OIR's review of this unit level case. OIR made recommendations regarding the charges and presentation of evidence.	Founded for Insubordination and Failure to Cooperate with the Lawful Order.	Discharge	Official disposition still pending due to employee's inactive work status.	
Deputy and his wife got in an argument. During the argument, Deputy began "wrestling" with wife over control of the phone. Deputy followed wife and their children into living room. Deputy grabbed and pulled younger daughter out of older daughter's grasp. As he did so, the backward momentum cause younger daughter's head to strike nearby television. Paramedics were called to the house and the victim was treated for a small laceration to the left side of her head, receiving four sutures.	Investigation: Adequate after investigator obtained additional court records at OIR's request. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurred Discipline: LASD concurred as to suspension.	Pending Region approval.  Founded for General Behavior and Family Violence.	5 days suspension		D.A. filed misdemeanor child endangerment against subject and wife. Charges dismissed on both on D.A.'s motion 08/06/03 after Deputy completed four anger management sessions.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Subject's wife was involved in a hit and run accident. She hit the rear of a tractor-trailer. She drove around the trailer and did not pull over to exchange information with the other driver. The driver of the tractor-trailer felt a bump and saw the subject's wife in the vehicle drive past him with what appeared to be fresh collision damage. Wife told subject about collision. Subject reported the collision to his insurance company as being unoccupied when the vehicle was struck. Subject confessed his false reporting to criminal investigator.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to General Behavior and Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders.	30 days suspension	Pending possible grievance.	D.A. declined.
Subject while on duty and accompanied by a ride-a-long allegedly engaged in an act of sexual intercourse with a female taxi dancer and facilitated an act of sexual intercourse between the ride a long and the dancer. In addition, the subject allegedly falsified his deputy daily worksheets over a three month period. On 05/17/02, the subject allegedly entered a nightclub in violation of station policy.	Investigation: Adequate. OIR recommended further investigation, including locating and interviewing the taxi dancer. OIR also ensured that the investigation was completed before the one year statute of limitations expired. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurred Discipline: LASD concurred	Founded as to General Behavior, Performance to Standards, Immoral Conduct, Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders, False Statements, and Obstructing an Investigation	Discharge	Pending Civil Service Hearing	
Subject allegedly used Department computer for personal purposes, including using DMV/CLETS access to locate information about former girlfriend, store pornographic documents, and stored e-mail files unrelated to Department business. Subject allegedly made false statements regarding these activities. Subject also allegedly used Department time and resources for sexually explicit activities unrelated to his position.	Investigation: Inadequate due to late completion of investigation. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurred Discipline: OIR concurred	Founded as to Inappropriate Use of Communications Equipment; False Statements; Failure to Cooperate During a Criminal Investigation; General Behavior; and Performance to Standards	Demotion from Bonus I to Deputy Generalist	Pending Civil Service Hearing	
Use of force incident where suspect received head strike with an impact weapon (flashlight) after a foot pursuit. Suspect had a gun.	Investigation: Thorough.  Case scheduled for Executive Force Review Committee meeting on 04/13/04.				
Deputies responded to a robbery call. Upon arrival, they were told the suspect had stolen a purse from a customer. Deputies were also shown a surveillance tape that captured the theft. Deputies saw an individual resembling the suspect pushing a cart. As deputies approached, he moved to his cart and placed his hand on a metal "club." Deputies attempted to perform a pat down search of the individual. He turned and struck Deputy (1) in the right eye with his fist. During the struggle, Deputy (1) did a leg sweep causing the individual to fall to the ground and strike his head on the sidewalk.	Investigation: Thorough  Executive Force Review on 03/25/04. Panel concluded use of force withing policy and no further investigation needed. OIR concurrence.				
Deputies responded to a disturbance call. Suspect refused to leave the location and attacked deputies. During the fight, deputies struck the suspect with hands, feet, fists, and used OC spray and inadvertently struck suspect once on the head with a collapsible baton.	Executive Force Review Committee set for 04/13/04.				



Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Canine bit a resident of a home while deputies were searching the yard of the house for a murderer.	Force Review Committee reviewed the case on 03/11/04. Panel found that the accidental bite was the result of a misunderstanding, and that blame could not conclusively be ascribed to LASD personnel. However, OIR and Panel urged improvement and formalization of the procedure for contacting residents during a neighborhood search and K-9 personnel adopted the recommendation.	No further action required.			
Subject allegedly stated to Sergeant, "Don't make me hurt you," which was taken as a threat by Sergeant. Incident occurred while Subject was off IOD.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to (1) Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders; (2) Conduct Towards Others; and Violation of Workplace Violence.	10- days suspension		
Deputies (1) and (2) initiated a pursuit of a reported stolen vehicle that sped away. Deputies and a sergeant stopped the vehicle after a pursuit lasting over eighteen minutes. A lieutenant approved the pursuit.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence with original discipline.	Founded for violation of LASD vehicle pursuit policy (time of pursuit).	3 days suspension for Lieutenant and Sergeant.  1 day suspension for Deputies (1) & (2).	After grievance procedure, discipline reduced to 1 day suspension for Lieutenant and Sergeant and Written Reprimand for Deputies.	
Several deputies used significant force to subdue an inmate who had lunged at one of them during a routine cell check. Inmate received a minor ankle fracture based on strike with flashlight.	Investigation complete. Case is awaiting presentation to the Executive Force Review Committee.				
Deputy pulled over a van in connection with possible misappropriation or dispute about ownership of jet ski. As deputy walked toward van, the driver suddenly exited the van and charged at him. Deputy struck the driver in the face with his fist. Deputy took driver to the ground and applied O.C. spray. Driver would not let go of Deputy's microphone. Deputy struck driver on the legs with his baton. Driver got up and ran away. Passerby witnessed the altercation and helped apprehend the driver.	Investigation: Adequate  Executive Force Review set for 04/13/04.				
Subject conducted a traffic stop and detained the occupants. While attempting to place one of the detainees in the back seat of the radio car, a fight ensued. Subject used baton to subdue the suspect.	Pending IAB investigation. Executive Force Review Committee recommended investigation regarding Performance to Standards. OIR concurred.				
Responding to a domestic violence call, deputies and a sergeant discovered the male suspect hiding in a closet at a neighbor's house, clutching a seemingly lifeless infant. Sergeant and deputies wrestled with the man, punched him in the upper body to gain release of the infant. Finally, a deputy struck the man twice in the head with a flashlight and he released the infant. The man was not injured. The infant recovered.	Executive Force Review on 01/08/04. No further investigation requested. OIR concurred, but has asked for inquiry over possible loss of evidence during the investigation.				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Complainant alleged that Subjects improperly handled and falsified reports relating to a criminal investigation of child molestation. Additionally, the complainant and victim were treated disrespectfully.	Investigation: Not completed within one year time limit except for subject who waived time limit. OIR has conferred with IAB investigator and unit commander to insure that time limits are understood and adhered to in future. Findings: OIR concurs	Unfounded on all subjects	N/A		
Informant alleged deputy, while on duty, forced citizen to perform a sexual act. Deputy allegedly destroyed videotape evidence of sexual act. Sergeant receiving the information allegedly failed to handle appropriately. A second informant alleged deputy engaged in sexual acts while on duty and in his patrol car with a prostitute.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Deputy: Founded for General Behavior, Tampering With Evidence and False Statements.  Sergeant: Founded for Supervisory Duties.	Deputy: Discharge  Sergeant: 30 days suspension	Letter of Intent	D.A. reject
Deputy changed value of stolen goods to avoid felony arrest of suspect.	Pending investigation.				D.A. reject, August 2003.
Allegation of unreported significant force	Pending investigation.				
Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders	Pending investigation.				
Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders	Pending investigation.				
Following foot pursuit, deputies used force to apprehend arrestee. Deputies originally denied use of force, then when questioned about marks on arrestee resembling flashlight impacts, admitted use of flashlight.	Pending D.A. evaluation.				
Subject and wife went to location in an attempt to retrieve a cellular telephone that was taken from his daughter earlier in the day. Subject and alleged suspect confronted one another and allegedly had a minor physical altercation.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Unresolved as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders. Founded for General Behavior.	1 day suspension		D.A. reject.
Subject committed a sexual battery on a complainant when the complainant was in LASD's custody and later destroyed an audiotape placed on the complainant's telephone by criminal investigators. The audiotape contained evidence of the sexual battery. Subject was convicted of destroying evidence.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to (1) Performance to Standards; (2) General Behavior; (3) Fraternalization; (4) Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders; and (5) Obstructing an Investigation.	Discharge		D.A. prosecuted Subject for destruction of evidence (misdemeanor), and Subject was convicted by a jury.
Subject Nurses failed to safeguard properly an inmate patient, who subsequently died.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review				D.A. is reviewing the case.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Subject Deputies responded to shots fired call. After the suspect's car collided with a parked truck, Subject Deputies got out of their patrol car and when the suspect's car went into reverse and traveled in the direction of Subject Deputies, Subject Deputies fired several rounds at the suspect's car. The suspect's car then crashed into a residence. When the reverse lights on the suspect's car illuminated and the car backed up a short distance, Subject Deputies fired several more rounds at the suspect's car. The suspect suffered a gun shot wound in the back.	Pending presentation to Executive Force Review Committee.				D.A. is reviewing the case.
During a drug surveillance, Subject Deputies and Sergeant tried to initiate a traffic stop on suspect's vehicle. When suspect tried to escape, a Subject Deputy fired several rounds at suspect's vehicle. Vehicle pursuit commenced, and suspect's vehicle struck several LASD vehicle. Vehicle pursuit ended when suspect vehicle crashed into fence, and at the conclusion of this vehicle pursuit Subject Deputies fired several additional rounds.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review				
Deputies pursued a traffic violation suspect who refused to pull over and drove recklessly. Sergeant approved and managed the pursuit.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Sergeant: Finding pending  Deputies (1) & (2): Founded for pursuit policy violation	Written reprimand for both deputies		
Deputies rode patrol bicycles past known drug house and observed a male leaving location on a bicycle. Deputies signaled to male, who pedaled away, crashed into parked vehicle, and began running. Foot pursuit began. One deputy and male were involved in scuffle. The man stood up and pointed gun at deputies. All four deputies opened fire. Suspect was killed.	Pending investigation.				
Deputies (1) & (2) attempted to contact two suspected gang members sitting in a parked car. The two men got out of the car and ran away. Deputies chased on foot. One man ran into a car port, turned and pointed a gun at deputy (1), who fired one shot, killing the man.	Pending Executive Force Review.				
Subject committed an act of domestic violence, i.e., battery.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review				
Subject drove while under the influence, and was arrested by an outside law enforcement agency.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review				
An outside law enforcement agency executed a search warrant on Subject's house resulting in his arrest for possession of methamphetamine.	Investigation: Inactivated Charges: N/A Findings: N/A Discipline: N/A	N/A	N/A	Subject resigned	D.A. is reviewing case.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Subject was cited for domestic violence/misdemeanor vandalism.	Pending investigation.				
It is alleged deputies used force and failed to report it and then transported an intoxicated female to the border of another police department's jurisdiction and then released her.	Pending evaluation by unit commander.				
Suspect who sustained a fractured rib after attacking and fighting with deputy personnel	Investigation is ongoing.				
Off-duty incident wherein subject allegedly assaulted step-daughters at his home with a metal step-stool when he struck her on the head with it. Subject was arrested.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded for Conduct Towards Others & General Behavior.	5 days suspension		D.A. reject due to insufficient evidence against Deputy (1).
Domestic violence. Both spouses, who were each considered a suspect/victim, are deputies. Heated argument involved allegations of minor battery with no injuries.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Deputy (1): Founded for General Behavior.  Deputy (2): Founded for Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders; Family Violence; and General Behavior.	5 days suspension for each deputy.		D.A. reject
Subjects allegedly failed to properly supervise and account for an inmate who escaped from their custody.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR agreed with original 20 days suspension of Deputy (1) and 4 days suspension of Deputy (2).	Deputy (1): Founded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders.  Deputy (2): Founded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders.  Deputy (3) - (6): Unfounded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders.	Deputy (1): 20 days suspension  Deputy (2): 4 days suspension	Deputy (1): After Skelly, Department settled case of Deputy (1), lowering discipline to 14 days with 7 days in abeyance.  Deputy (2): Imposed	
While off-duty, Deputy (1) allegedly repeatedly touched female in a sexual manner without consent and despite requests to stop.  Deputy (2) took courtesy report from victim for other police agency authorities and victim expressed concerns about an appearance of bias on his part and raising religious issues.	Deputy (1): Pending IAB  Deputy (2): Investigation: Thorough Charges: N/A	Deputy (2): OIR concurrence. A Watch Commander Service Comment Report review was completed and resolved all of victim's concerns. No policy violations.			D.A. declined to file charges.
Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders. Alleged falsification of injury claim.	Investigation inactivated due to Deputy's retirement.				
Workers Compensation Fraud	Investigation: Inactivated Charges: N/A Findings: N/A Discipline: N/A	N/A	N/A	Subject resigned.	
Two deputies were off duty passengers. They got in a verbal argument with another motorist over possible tailgating. Argument escalated and turned into a fight.	Pending unit commander evaluation.				D.A. declined to file.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Alleged theft or failure to secure cash left in vehicle trunk by arrestee.	Unit level investigation pending.				
Desk deputy was rude and unresponsive to caller who complained of prowlers.	Unit level investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurs	Founded for Conduct Toward Others.	2 days suspension	Letter of Intent	
In the process of handling a family disturbance call, deputy placed a resident's wallet on hood of patrol car, forgot to return the wallet, and left the location, losing the wallet.	Unit level investigation: Adequate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurs	Founded for Failure to Safeguard Property	1 day suspension	Imposed, 03/19/04	
Alleged refusal to cooperate with prosecutor.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: Pending unit commander evaluation.				
Subject was arrested for off-duty Assault with a Deadly Weapon (vehicle).	Pending investigation.				
Subject allegedly used the Sheriff's Data Network to mass e-mail defamatory material, statements to intimidate personnel from participating in specific programs, and campaigning for a political candidate.	Investigation is ongoing.				
It is alleged the subjects illegally entered and searched the complainant's home as well as planted guns and drugs on the complainant when he was arrested.	Investigation: Adequate, but unduly delayed by Department's failure to secure subject's cooperation in interview. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Deputy (1): Founded for Performance to Standards  Deputy (2): Unfounded	Deputy (1): Discharge		D.A. declined to file criminal charges.
Subject Deputy knowingly requested and secured paid bereavement leave for persons not related to her and made false statements to supervisors to secure the bereavement leave. In addition, Subject Deputy knowingly made false statements during the administrative investigation.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders; False Statements; and False Statements During Departmental Internal Investigations	Discharge	Discharge approved at Case Review.  After grievance by Subject Deputy, discharged reduced to 30- day suspension and founded charge of False Statements During Departmental Internal Investigations was deleted. OIR did not concur with either the reduction in discipline or the deletion of the founded charge. 30-day suspension was imposed on 1/27/04.	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
It is alleged the subject fraternized with work release inmates.	Investigation complete. Pending disciplinary decision.  Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: No OIR input into findings despite OIR request for such input. OIR agreed after the fact with disposition decision.	Unresolved			
It is alleged Sergeant used his position on the Department to get Complainant to commit a sex act with him. It is also alleged that Deputy may have paid Complainant to commit the sex act.	Pending investigation.				D.A. declined to file charges.
Non-hit shooting. Deputies were assisting in surveillance of possible homicide suspects. Suspects drove car at deputy who fired at car.	Pending investigation.				
Subjects initiated a traffic stop. When subjects attempted pat down search, suspect broke free and attempted to flee. Both subjects grabbed suspect and took him down to the ground. Possible issue of unreported head strike is a component to the investigation.	Investigation complete. Awaiting presentation to the Executive Force Review Committee.				
Inappropriate use of computer equipment while on-duty, resulting in loss of productivity.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Permissible Use of Communications Equipment and Performance of Duty.	15 days suspension		
Deputy responded to a domestic dispute and allegedly failed to take appropriate action in terms of taking a report and ensuring the safe departure of the female complainant.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Performance to Standards.	Written Reprimand		
Subject Deputy failed to investigate properly a call of criminal conduct.	Investigation pending.				
Allegation by citizen of unreported and unreasonable force (back to the head).	Investigation continuing.				
It is alleged that Subject (1) assaulted his live-in girlfriend while on-duty. It is further alleged that Subject (2) intervened on Subject (1)'s behalf and dissuaded the Station Watch Commander from authorizing Subject (1)'s arrest.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Subject(1): Founded for General Behavior. Unresolved for Domestic Violence.  Subject (2): Charges unfounded.	10 days suspension		D.A. reject.
Possession of Assault Weapons	Investigation pending.				
Sexual Molestation and Unlawful Intercourse	Investigation pending.				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Deputy made false statements about his medical condition and reasons for a series of absences.	Investigation inactivated due to deputy's resignation in February 2004, following criminal conviction on an unrelated allegation.				
In non-compliance with established purchasing protocols and regulations, Subject Deputy acquired an upgraded GPS tracking system.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: no OIR concurrence	Founded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders.	No discipline imposed.		
This case involves an alleged use of force incident which was partially witnessed by a sergeant.  Sergeant was in his office when he heard a disturbance in the hall. He exited his office to investigate. His attention was drawn to two inmates who were handcuffed and lying on the floor. Deputies (1) and (2) were standing over them kicking them. Sergeant directed deputies to stop and escort the inmates to their cells. Sergeant followed deputies and inmates. While walking, Sergeant witnessed Subject (1) kick one inmate in the back and buttocks with his knee.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Deputy (1): Founded as to Unreasonable Use of Force  Deputy (2): Founded as to Unreasonable Use of Force	Both deputies received a 5 days suspension.	Pending possible grievance.	D.A. reject
It is alleged that the employees failed to take appropriate action in referring an inmate to a mental health professional in a timely manner after inmate reported that he was experiencing mental health symptoms. After being seen by the employees, inmate was placed into a pre-discipline cell where he committed suicide.	Investigation: OIR suggested that an investigation be opened because of circumstances surrounding suicide. Investigation was adequate, given constraints on disclosure of inmate's medical records. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurs	Employee (1): Unfounded  Employee (2): Founded for Safeguarding Persons in Custody and Performance to Standards  Employee (3): Founded for Safeguarding Persons in Custody and Performance to Standards	Employee (1): N/A  Employee (2): 2 days suspension  Employee (3): 3 days suspension		
Supervisor sent personal e-mails to subordinates and created the impression of favoritism.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurs	Founded for Misuse of e-mail and Improper Supervisory Relationship.	5 days suspension		
Deputies responded to a call and saw a man swinging a plastic trash bag filled with cans and bottles. When Deputy (1) approached the suspect, the suspect attacked the deputy and struck him in the head and right shoulder with the bag. Deputy (2) "tased" the suspect one time. The suspect was transported to a hospital where it was determined he sustained a fractured bone in his left shoulder.	Investigation: Adequate  Executive Force Review Committee determined no policy violations. OIR concurred. Critical decision-making training to be provided to Deputy (1).				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
This case pertains to allegations that Subject (the estranged husband of the complainant) has been using deputies from the station to his advantage during child custody disputes and has received and/or altered police reports concerning he and the complainant.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unfounded as to all charges			
It is alleged that Subject Lieutenant accessed JDIC, DMV records for the purposes other than those of law enforcement use and interfered in a criminal investigation.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded for Permissible Use of Computer. Unfounded for Interference in the Investigation.	3 days suspension	Reduced after grievance to written reprimand. OIR was not consulted.	
Following an inmate suicide, Deputies may have altered logs reflecting the frequency of safety checks. Inmate prior indications of suicidal inclination may have been ignored.	Investigation: Adequate after OIR conferred with investigation on issues of concern. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unresolved for False Statements and Safeguarding Persons In-custody for both deputies.			
Subject Custody Assistant originally received approval for vacation. Prior to departing on vacation, Subject Custody Assistant requested an extension of the approved vacation time. Without receiving approval for the extension of time and without submitting a request for leave form, Subject Custody Assistant took unapproved additional vacation time. During the investigation of this matter, Subject Custody Assistant made false statements.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: no OIR concurrence; OIR recommended discharge	Founded as to (1) False Statements; (2) Performance to Standards; and (3) Absence	15 days suspension		
At a local airport, Subject Nurse possessed methamphetamine in his luggage. An outside law enforcement agency arrested him.	Investigation: Inactivated Charges: N/A Findings: N/A Discipline: N/A	N/A	N/A	Subject resigned	D.A. charged Subject Nurse with three felony charges, and on 12/18/03, Subject Nurse entered a guilty plea to one misdemeanor count of possession of controlled substance.
Subject Sergeant and Lieutenant inappropriately responded to an SEB call out.	Investigation: pending Charges: review pending Findings: review pending Discipline: review pending				
On several occasions while on duty, Subjects were engaged in unofficial duties.	Investigation: pending Charges: review pending Findings: review pending Discipline: review pending				



Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
While off-duty, while driving under the influence, deputy had very low-impact collision with car. Five male occupants of car surrounded his vehicle. Deputy withdrew his service weapon and fanned it at men, allegedly because deputy was in fear. Deputy never fired weapon and police responded to scene. Deputy was arrested for DUI and displaying a firearm, both misdemeanors.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence. Deputy had no prior sustained violations.	Founded as to General Behavior, Disorderly Conduct, and Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders.	15 days suspension	Pending possible grievance.	Deputy was charged with misdemeanor DUI and displaying a weapon. He pled no contest to DUI only.
Subject was arrested on charges of burglary, domestic violence and false imprisonment.	Pending.				City Attorney diverted to counseling and is holding open.
The allegation is that unknown member(s) of the Weapons Training Staff purchased ammunition via purchase orders. The purchase was fragmented and should have been made on a requisition via ISD since it was over \$5000 and exceeded the Department's delegated authority for this type of purchase.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Charges unfounded for all subjects. Conduct at issue preceded beginning of new purchase protocol and relevant training.			
Deputies were involved in a hit shooting after a pursuit in which the suspect's vehicle struck the deputies' vehicle several times in an effort to escape. Several other deputies responded and suspect, who repeatedly drove at deputies, was shot and killed.	Case was presented to the Executive Force Review Committee in January. Committee determined that deputies' actions and use of deadly force was justified and in policy. OIR concurred.	No further action required.			
Deputy allegedly failed to submit a burglary report after responding to a call for service and conducting an investigation.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Performance to Standards.	Written reprimand		
Subject Deputy released erroneously an inmate from court lockup.	Investigation: pending Charges: review pending Findings: review pending Discipline: review pending				
While working DUI checkpoint, Deputy (1) arrested suspect for numerous warrants. While en route to the station, suspect became violent in the patrol car backseat. Deputy (1) requested back up. Deputy (1) deployed OC spray on the suspect with no effect. He also deployed a taser, again with no effect. The suspect attempted to get away and was taken to the ground by the arrest team. Paramedics were on the scene and treated the suspect, who suffered minor injuries.	Investigation: Thorough  Executive Force Review Committee reviewed case in January and found that deputies' actions were appropriate. OIR concurred.	No further action required.			
Subject violated Department policy by using LASD address and Department e-mail account as the contact points for personal business.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Political Actions and Use of Communications Equipment.	4 days suspension		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>Complainant alleged that during a child custody exchange, Sergeant rapidly accelerated her truck, dragging him on the ground and causing injury to his left knee. Other allegations include other attempts by Sergeant to strike complainant with vehicle, off-duty assaults by Sergeant against complainant, improper off-duty discharge of weapon by Sergeant, and inappropriate access of JDIC information.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate  Investigation complete and pending decision.</p>				
<p>Subject Deputies made inappropriate contact with and/or used profanity toward youth program participants.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough. OIR requested further investigation, including to locate and interview the youth program participants. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Subject Deputy 1: Founded as to General Behavior. Unresolved as to (1) Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders; and/or (2) Conduct Toward Others  Subject Deputy 2: Founded as to Derogatory Language. Unresolved as to (1) Conduct Toward Others; (2) Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders; and/or (3) General Behavior  Subject Deputy 3: Founded as to Derogatory Language. Unresolved as to (1) Conduct Toward Others; (2) Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders; and/or (3) General Behavior</p>	<p>Subject Deputy 1: Written reprimand  Subject Deputy 2: Written reprimand  Subject Deputy 3: Written reprimand</p>	<p>Subject Deputy 1: Discipline imposed  Subject Deputy 2: Discipline imposed  Subject Deputy 3: Discipline imposed</p>	<p>D.A. declined to prosecute case.</p>
<p>Subject Deputy purchased illegal narcotics for his wife and committed spousal abuse during the course of their marriage.</p>	<p>Investigation: pending Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review</p>				
<p>Deputies were out of their car talking with a citizen when they heard gunshots. They ran towards alley where they believed gunshots were coming from. Deputy (1) ran towards alley and Deputy (2) got in their car and drove around the corner. A man came out of the alley on a bike. The man pointed a gun at Deputy (1) who shot him. He dropped bike and gun and then ran through gate entrance of housing project. Deputies called for containment. Deputies located suspect on the ground. He had been shot twice. He was taken to hospital and treated for his wounds. Suspect said he had been in alley for a drug deal which had gone bad.</p>	<p>Pending investigation.</p>				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
<p>Deputies were in pursuit of reckless driver who was believed to be driving under the influence of alcohol. The suspect's vehicle collided with another vehicle at an intersection. Suspect exited vehicle and fled on foot. Deputies had received information that the suspect matched the description of a male wanted for murder. Deputy (1) pursued suspect. He ordered suspect to stop. The suspect turned toward deputies and pointed a shiny object at them. Deputy (1) fired a single shot, missing the suspect. Suspect again fled the location. Containment was set and suspect was located a short time later.</p>	<p>Pending Investigation.</p>				
<p>Deputies received a call for a bank robbery in progress. First deputy approached, saw the two suspects and detained them at gunpoint. They initially complied. Two additional deputies arrived to help detain and at that point the two suspects took off running. Deputies followed about fifty yards. Suspects ran behind bank towards an apartment complex. Two deputies followed. One deputy saw Suspect (1) toss an object (gun) while running. Suspect (1) went over a metal gate and into apartment complex. Suspect (2) reached for his waist as he reached the same gate. Deputy (1) fired three shots at Suspect (2). Suspect (2) went over gate. Deputies set up containment. Suspect (1) was quickly caught. An air unit located Suspect (2) running across roofs and finally apprehended him in the parking structure. A gun was found where Suspect (1) tossed the object and a second gun was found inside the complex.</p>	<p>Pending investigation.</p>				
<p>Deputies stopped car which they believed contained an individual with an outstanding felony warrant. Deputies placed suspect uncuffed in back of patrol car. After confirming the suspect was wanted for the warrant, deputies attempted to remove him from rear seat of patrol car and handcuff him. Suspect became uncooperative and began fighting with the deputies. During the fight, suspect kicked deputies and wrestled deputy's OC spray away from him and sprayed deputies. Suspect suffered multiple injuries and was transferred to hospital.</p>	<p>Investigation is complete. Awaiting presentation to Executive Force Review Committee.</p>				
<p>Subject was involved in a non-hit shooting with a suspect who allegedly pointed a gun at him during a 300-foot pursuit.</p>	<p>Executive Force Review Committee recommended investigation regarding possible violation of foot pursuit policy. OIR concurred.</p>				
<p>Inmate alleged deputies assaulted him while he was in custody and that deputies also encouraged other inmates to beat him.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: N/A Findings: Case inactivated due to insufficient evidence regarding policy violations and identity of subjects. Complainant refused to cooperate.</p>	<p>Case inactivated</p>	<p>N/A</p>		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Subject, a kitchen worker, failed to report his arrest and conviction on a criminal charge involving lewd acts upon a child. The misconduct (and his failure to report it) came to light during deportation proceedings.	Investigation: Adequate  Case is complete and is currently being reviewed at the Division level.				
Subject Deputies shot several rounds at an armed man and fatally wounded him.	Pending presentation to Executive Force Review Committee.				D.A. is reviewing the case.
Deputy transported female to another city and failed to make any notification of this. Female alleged she was struck on the head prior to being transported.	Investigation ongoing.				
Subject Deputy engaged in inappropriate behavior toward employees of another law enforcement agency.	Investigation: pending Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review				
Non-hit shooting, domestic dispute	Investigation ongoing.				
Deputies observed possible drug transaction and attempted to detain three males. One man fled on foot, and deputies followed. Suspect produced a gun as he went over a fence and continued running; the lead deputy fired when suspect turned back in his direction. Suspect was not hit, and deputies arrested him after establishing a containment position. Deputies recovered suspect's gun in a nearby trash bin.	Investigation: Adequate  Executive Force Review Committee evaluated this case in January 2003. Panel determined that shooting and accompanying tactics were in policy. OIR concurred.	No further action required.			
This case pertains to an incident where subject allegedly threatened a law enforcement intern.	Investigation pending.				
Subject Doctor failed to meet the standard of care expected by a physician.	Investigation: Thorough. OIR requested further investigation, including interviews of witnesses. Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unfounded as to Performance to Standards.	N/A	N/A	
It is alleged subject inappropriately touched an inmate. It is further alleged the subject made inappropriate comments about her body and asked for her telephone number so he could call her upon her release.	Investigation pending.				
General Behavior, Obstructing an Investigation	Investigation pending.				
This case pertains to an allegation of fraternization and prohibited association. It is alleged that Subject has maintained an intimate relationship with female for the past sixteen years. Female has an extensive criminal history and has been in and out of jail during this alleged relationship.	Investigation pending.				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders and General Behavior	Investigation pending.				
Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders, Logging Public Contacts, General Behavior, Conduct Towards Others, and Immoral Conduct	Investigation pending.				
Deputy (1) and Deputy (2) allegedly included false and inaccurate information in official reports.	Investigation pending.				D.A. reject.
Subject allegedly was involved in off-duty altercation, striking another at a Christmas party at his residence.	Investigation pending.				
Short pursuit. Driver ran and jumped over fence, armed with a handgun. Driver remains at large.	Pending Executive Force Review.				
Deputies used force on Inmate (1) to thwart his knife attack on second inmate, breaking Inmate (1)'s arm.	Pending Executive Force Review.				
It is alleged that Subject used profanities while speaking to security officers at a mall. It is also alleged the Subject challenged one of the security officers to fight.	Investigation pending.				
Deputies responded to a call of man with a gun. Subject Deputy saw an armed man run down driveway of apartment complex. On the roof of a carport, the armed man turned and faced Subject Deputy. Subject Deputy shot twice. The armed man jumped off carport, and was later apprehended.	Pending presentation to the Executive Force Review Committee.				
Workers Compensation fraud relating to disputed circumstances of a knee injury received by the subject.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded for Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders and False Statements.	Discharge		D.A. filed fraud charges but then dismissed before trial based on concerns about strength of witness testimony.
Deputy allegedly threatened an employee by suggesting the employee's vehicle might be vandalized.	Pending evaluation by unit commander.				
Subject Deputy shot himself in the foot.	Pending presentation to the Executive Force Review Committee.				
At end of short pursuit, passenger opened car door and brandished gun. Several deputies fired their weapons.	Pending investigation.				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Deputies were attempting to locate a wanted parolee. While conducting surveillance, they observed two male subjects walking toward them. Both deputies observed what appeared to be handguns in the males' waistbands. Deputies exited their vehicle and ordered the males to raise their hands. One of the males complied, but the other removed the handgun from his waistband. Deputy (1) fired one round from his duty weapon, striking the male in the right leg. Both suspects were detained without further incident. The apparent handguns were replicas.	Pending investigation.				
It is alleged the subject raped his ex-wife. Ex-wife allegedly attempted to extort money from subject. Ex-wife refused to cooperate in investigation.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unfounded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders and Family Violence.			
Subject's ex-husband filed a forgery complaint against her.	Investigation pending.				
Deputy grabbed and pushed spouse at their home during an argument.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: Pending with unit commander.				D.A. declined to file criminal case, July 2003.
General Behavior	Investigation ongoing.				
Violation of Workplace Violence Policy. Subject allegedly phoned his unit of assignment and threatened personnel because of a dispute with a co-worker.	Investigation continuing.				
Deputy failed to write a report issued citation or book evidence in connection with a call for service from a night school administrator, who found marijuana on students.	Investigation: OIR did not monitor unit level investigation, but conferred on findings and discipline. Charges: Appropriate Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Performance to Standards, Mishandling Evidence and False Statements	Pending grievance hearing.		
Inmate death.	Investigation: pending Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review				
This case pertains to an on-duty incident where Subject was attending a training class. Subject and numerous other law enforcement personnel returned gunfire with an individual who was shooting at the station. It is alleged Subject used an authorized weapon and ammunition which he had not been Departmentally trained and authorized to carry or use.	Investigation is complete. Awaiting presentation to the Executive Force Review Committee.				
Suspect armed with handgun, ran from deputies, and was struck on head with a flashlight while fighting with deputies.	Investigation ongoing.				
Use of force contact by deputies resulting in hospitalization of suspect.	Investigation ongoing.				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
It is alleged subjects administered an examination to relatives/close friend and did not report any conflict of interest. Also, subjects rated relative/close friend with perfect scores.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: Pending unit commander evaluation.				
Long history of poor attendance.	Investigation pending.				
Subject Deputy responded to a non-injury traffic collision in which one of the parties involved was possibly under the influence of a drug or alcohol. After obtaining the involved parties' names, Subject Deputy left the scene without further investigation. One of the involved parties was later involved in another car accident, which resulted in a fatality.	Investigation: pending Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review				
Performance to Standards; Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders	Investigation pending.				
Allegedly masturbated in work area	Investigation referred to Equity Policy unit by Division Chief. OIR oversight terminated on 03/16/04.				D.A. declined to file.
Fraternization; Prohibited Association. Custody assistant's exchange of correspondence with recently released parolee suggest inappropriate relationship.	Investigation is pending.				
It is alleged the subject was involved in an off-duty incident involving his girlfriend. A portion of the 911 call made by the girlfriend was recorded. It is further alleged the subject choked his girlfriend during the argument.	Investigation pending.				
It is alleged the subject failed to report act(s) of child abuse involving complainant's daughter. It is also alleged the subject accessed the DMV records and provided it to complainant's ex-husband.	Investigation: Adequate Investigation complete. Awaiting disposition decision.				
Inmate death.	Investigation: Pending Charges: Pending review Findings: Pending review Discipline: Pending review				
Search warrant was served in subject's home with 42 firearms being seized from a hidden gun vault within the house.	Investigation is pending.				Subject was convicted of misdemeanor weapons charges pursuant to a plea on March of 2004.
Officers responded to an assault call. Deputies attempted to arrest suspect and suspect attacked deputies. Deputies used TASER and personal weapons.	Pending investigation.				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil / Criminal
Inmate death.	Investigation: Pending Charges: Pending review Findings: Pending review Discipline: Pending review				
Possible negligence which may have contributed to death of inmate	Investigation pending.				
Detective in specialized unit allegedly refused supervisor's order to arrest a suspect based on an ongoing informant relationship with him.	Investigation is pending.				
Deputy altered time sheet evidence to avoid liability in a civil suit.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: None because deputy resigned before discipline imposed in February 2004.				