

**OIR Report of Oversight of Administrative Discipline Cases:
May thru September 2003**

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Deputy provided burglary arrestee's rap sheet to civilian victims. Deputy lied to investigators.	Investigation: N/A ¹ Charges: N/A Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded	15 day suspension	Imposed
Deputy at a party allegedly engaged in off duty fight with brother and attempted to break into office to retrieve his weapon during fight. After party, deputy allegedly accompanied brother, father, and friend to home of person involved in fight and stood by while brother stabbed that person, prevented others from assisting person stabbed, chased person stabbed into home without permission to enter, and hit person who came to aid of the stabbed person.	Investigation: Adequate, but could have been better. It was delayed and ran out of time so forced to rely on statements gathered by LAPD. Charges: Appropriate. OIR collaborated with Advocacy and LASD to craft. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded as to conduct after party when stabbing occurred. As to other allegations, insufficient evidence to find misconduct occurred.	Discharge	Letter of Imposition Civil Service hearings held in May 2003. Hearing officer found misconduct did not occur and therefore held officer should be reinstated. Civil Service Commission adopted this decision.
Deputies responding to report of several young men shooting into the air chased two, separating during pursuit. Each deputy fired at a suspect when suspect pointed a gun. One suspect shot in the hand.	OIR noted two other recent shootings by one of the deputies and recommended immediate assignment change and close mentoring. LASD changed deputy's shift temporarily then after fourth incident, instituted mentor development plan including assignment changes. See also OIR #020360.	Executive Force Review 07/24/03. Panel concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurred. Tactical problems to be addressed by ongoing mentoring program.	N/A	
Deputy's mistakes and discourtesy in handling a 9-1-1 call to station desk delayed fire department response to residence where baby had stopped breathing. Baby died.	Investigation: Thorough. OIR recommended that unit commander await complete Coroner's report before completing evaluation. LASD agreed. Charges: Appropriate. Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR recommended 10 day suspension and retraining of subject and clarification of front desk procedures and training of station personnel. Station has begun implementing new procedures.	Founded for Performance to Standards; Unfounded for Conduct Toward Others. After reconsideration of sequence of events, OIR concurred that deputy did attempt to convey correct information, albeit ineffectively, and that there was no likely connection between the delay and the fatality. OIR agreed to a minimum suspension of 5 days plus subject's assistance in retraining other station desk personnel.	3 day suspension	

¹ OIR only reviewed this case at the approval of findings and discipline stage.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Suspect assaulted deputies and fled on foot, ending up in the San Gabriel River. Suspect armed with a knife and refused to surrender. LASD personnel deployed various weapons and devices in an attempt to end the standoff, which lasted for approximately three hours and ended with the accidental drowning of the suspect.	Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 9/18/03. Consistent with OIR recommendations, two of the involved officers were named as formal subjects, and both received recommended suspensions based on violations of "performance to standards" requirements. OIR has recommended further delineation of the LASD position, based on OIR's issue identification and the findings of the outside expert. That process is pending.			
<p>Subject (1), a supervisor, falsely accused Deputy (A) of having been involved in the death or disappearance of Deputy (B) and failed to supervise Subject (2).</p> <p>Subject (2), a Deputy, falsely accused Deputy (A) of having been involved in the death or disappearance of Deputy (B) and made false statements to Subject (1) about Deputy (A).</p> <p>See also OIR #020278 and #020279 in January 2003 Oversight Chart.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Subject (1): Founded as to Conduct Towards Others; Performance to Standards, & Responsibility for Conduct of Subordinates and/or Unnecessary Interference.</p> <p>Subject (2): Founded as to Conduct Towards Others and/or Unnecessary Interference; and False Statements and/or Performance to Standards.</p>	<p>Subject (1): 15 day suspension</p> <p>Subject (2): Discharge</p>	<p>Subject (1): Letter of Intent</p> <p>Subject (2): Letter of Intent</p>
Sergeant joined pursuit by other police agency though ordered to stay out, Violates Code 3 policy, and failed to order deputies to desist.	<p>Investigation: At OIR request, additional witnesses interviewed.</p> <p>Charges: At OIR request, charges added.</p> <p>Findings: LASD concurrence.</p> <p>Discipline: LASD concurrence.</p>	Founded	Demotion	<p>Letter of Imposition</p> <p>Sergeant withdrew agreement to resign. Civil Service hearing is underway.</p>
Two Deputies join above pursuit; fail to report immediately a collision with each other.	<p>Investigation: At OIR request, additional witnesses interviewed.</p> <p>Charges: Appropriate</p> <p>Findings: LASD concurrence</p> <p>Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	Founded, both Deputies	20 day suspension, each.	Grievances denied
Deputy's random urine sample tested positive for marijuana.	<p>Investigation: Adequate</p> <p>Charges: Appropriate</p> <p>Findings: LASD concurrence</p> <p>Discipline: LASD concurrence</p>	Founded	Discharge	Imposed

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Subject allegedly stole monies from several juveniles during traffic stops. It is further alleged that on the 9/6/99 traffic stop, Subject never logged his contact with these juveniles, confiscated alcoholic beverages (beer) from them and failed to properly dispose of the confiscated beer.</p>	<p>Investigation: Inadequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurred Discipline: LASD concurred that subject should have been discharged. However, statute of limitations expired due to investigator's miscalculation of one-year deadline.²</p>	<p>Founded as to General Behavior and Performance to Standards.</p>	<p>No discipline due to lapsed statute of limitations</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>While off-duty, Subject Deputy gambled at a Las Vegas casino, consumed alcoholic beverages and celebrated victories. At one point, the casino staff advised Subject Deputy to refrain from using profanity to avoid offending other patrons and hotel staff. When Subject Deputy failed to comply, the hotel security officers were summoned. As security officers escorted Subject Deputy out of the casino, Subject Deputy allegedly battered one of the security officers. The hotel security officers then took Subject Deputy to the ground and arrested him for battery.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to Conduct Towards Others. Founded as to Disorderly Conduct. Founded as to General Behavior. Founded as to Derogatory Language.</p>	<p>5 days suspension</p>	<p>Letter of intent issued. Pending imposition of discipline.</p>
<p>Deputy (1) stopped and inappropriately searched female detainee. Deputy (1) learned that Deputy (2) falsely claimed to have made the arrest, yet took no immediate action to correct the false report. Deputy (1) made false statements to supervisors about the arrest. Deputy (2) prepared a false police report. Deputy (2) made false statements to supervisors about the arrest. Deputy (2) failed to properly secure arrestee in back of radio car.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough. Per OIR request, arrestee interviewed, subject deputies reinterviewed and additional potential victim located and interviewed. Charges: Appropriate. OIR suggested additional charges. LASD agreed. Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for both as to all charges.</p>	<p>Discharge for both.</p>	<p>Skelly hearing did not result in change. Awaiting Civil Service hearing.</p>

²

IAB, prompted by OIR, has established a new directive requiring investigators to consult with supervisors and Advocacy regarding the correct one year date and advise OIR of that date well before the date passes. Hopefully, the new directive will decrease the number of lapsed statute cases. The cases reported in this chart were completed before the new directive was instituted.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Subject Deputy (1) stopped the civilian complainant who was riding his motorcycle and Subject Deputy (2) arrived later to assist Subject Deputy (1). The civilian complainant alleged that by threatening him with arrest or bodily injury during the traffic stop, Subject Deputy (2) coerced the sale of the complainant's motorcycle for Subject Deputy (2)'s personal use and that Subject Deputy (1) assisted Subject Deputy (2) in this unlawful confiscation.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Subject Deputy (1): Founded as to Performance to Standards and/or General Behavior when Subject Deputy (1) failed to maintain control of his traffic stop and allowed Subject Deputy (2) to negotiate for the purchase of the motorcycle, assisted Subject Deputy (2) in the placement of the motorcycle in a patrol car and failed to learn from Subject Deputy (2) the disposition of the motorcycle.</p> <p>Subject Deputy (2): Founded as to Bribes, Rewards, Loans, Gifts, favors when during the traffic stop Subject Deputy (1) purchased the motorcycle. Founded as to Failure to Make Statements and/or Making False Statements During a Departmental Internal Investigation.</p>	<p>Subject Deputy (1): 15 days suspension</p> <p>Subject Deputy (2): Discharge</p>	<p>Subject Deputy (1): Pending imposition of discipline.</p> <p>Subject Deputy (2): Letter of intent. Pending imposition of discipline.</p>
<p>Civilian employee alleged that following an evening out drinking with Subject Deputy, another Department member and his wife, the four returned to Subject Deputy's residence. The employee alleged that after an argument with Subject Deputy and while the employee was trying to leave the residence, Subject Deputy punched the employee in the upper torso several times causing rib fracture. The employee alleged that others witnessed the battery.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to Conduct Toward Others and General Behavior. Founded as to Failure to Report Incident to Supervisor.</p>	<p>5 days suspension</p>	<p>Letter of intent. Pending imposition of discipline.</p>
<p>An inmate was being reassigned to another cell for disciplinary reasons and became uncooperative with a deputy and a custody assistant. The employees placed the suspect's arms in a rear wrist lock position to control him and were escorting him to his next housing location. The suspect began resisting by twisting and pulling away from the employees. During this struggle, the suspect's upper right arm was broken.</p>	<p>Executive Force Review on 10/10/02. Panel concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>Deputy, after months at home (IOD), misrepresented his condition to his supervisor as totally disabling. Surveillance showed that during this period, he sold foodstuffs from his car, despite being ordered not to do so.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough. OIR requested supplemental interview to determine whether Subject had made false statements to a supervisor. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR recommended discharge</p>	<p>Founded</p>	<p>Decision pending</p>	<p>Follow-up investigation requested by Regional executive prior to imposition of discipline. Findings and discipline may be reconsidered.</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Subject (1) yelled at Subject (2) using profanity after a meeting where Subject (2) complained about Subject (1)'s work performance. Subject (2) responded by displaying middle finger as Subject (1) walked away. Subject (2) felt physically threatened by Subject (1)'s conduct.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: Based on subject (1)'s long history of similar sustained conduct, OIR recommended discharge as to subject 1. OIR concurred in discipline of subject (2).</p>	<p>Founded as to both for Conduct Towards Others</p>	<p>Subject (1): allowed to retire in lieu of discharge. Subject (2): 5 day suspension</p>	
<p>Deputy on patrol followed a female who lived outside of his patrol area and outside LA county. Deputy followed her into her apartment, looked into each room and asked if she was alone. Deputy asked for glass of water and gave her his work phone number. He asked her to call, hugged her, rubbed her back and sniffed her hair.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence with discharge</p>	<p>Founded as to Performance to Standards, General behavior, False Statements, and Obedience to Laws</p>	<p>Discharge overturned by civil service hearing officer</p>	<p>Civil service hearing officer upheld founded finding on all charges, but reduced discipline from discharge to 25 days. Department will appeal to five member Civil Service Commission.</p>
<p>Deputies approached a vehicle at a gas station for expired registration. The driver admitted to not having a valid license. While Deputy (1) spoke with the driver, Deputy (2) contacted the passenger, the driver's teenage son. Deputy (2) attempted to detain the passenger in the backseat of the patrol car while Deputy (1) completed his investigation. At this point, police officers from a local police agency arrived. Deputy (2) and the officers struggled with the passenger at the rear of the patrol car. Deputy (2) and the officers took the passenger to the ground, struggled with him there, and then handcuffed him. Meanwhile, Deputy (1) took the driver to the ground. After the passenger was cuffed, he was lifted off the ground by officers as captured on videotape. The officers of the local police agency are alleged to have slammed the passenger into the trunk of the car and punched him.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. OIR interacted frequently with the responsible IAB investigators to ensure that all allegations that had been made were addressed by the investigation. Charges: Appropriate after OIR input. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence Case presented to Executive Force Review on 03/06/03.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded for Performance to Standards for inadequate observations of events. Deputy (2): Unresolved for Performance to Standards.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): 10 day suspension. Deputy (2): N/A</p>	<p>Grievances by deputies are pending</p>
<p>Deputy (1) and (2) were married. Deputy (1) had been drinking. Argument ensued. Deputy (1) alleged spouse scratched his face. Deputy (2) alleged spouse's punch caused lump on her hand.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: Based on past prior sustained conduct, OIR concurred in discharge recommendation of Deputy (1). OIR concurred in 2 day suspension for Deputy (2).</p>	<p>Founded for both as to Family Violence and General Behavior</p>	<p>Discharge as to Deputy (1). Deputy (2) 2 day suspension</p>	<p>Pending civil service hearing as to Deputy (1). Imposed as to Deputy (2).</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>The Deputies were called to the location because the suspect was acting bizarrely and was assaulting motorists in their cars. Deputy (1) was the first deputy to the scene and detained the suspect at gunpoint. Deputies (2) & (3) responded to assist, also drawing their guns. The suspect ran at Deputy (1) and all three deputies fired believing that the suspect was trying to take Deputy (1)'s gun. Suspect was killed.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough. At Executive Force Review, panel requested IAB investigation with OIR concurrence. Additional areas were addressed during the IAB investigation as requested by OIR. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded for Performance to Standards. Unresolved for Use of Firearms & Deadly Force. Deputies (2) & (3): Unresolved for Performance to Standards and Use of Firearms & Deadly Force.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): 15 day Deputies (2) & (3): N/A</p>	<p>Letter of Imposition. Deputy (1) pursuing grievance. Deputy (2): Pursued grievance and changed to unfounded.</p>
<p>Deputies used reasonable force including a baton and O.C. spray on Suspect (1).</p> <p>Suspect (1) was held at the sub-station for approximately 4 hours before he was transported to the station. While at the sub-station, Suspect (1) was acting erratically and kicking holes in the room he was being held in. Suspect (1) indicated he has PTSD and takes medication, but had not taken it that evening. Suspect (1) was examined by emergency medical personnel at the substation and then was taken directly to the station without going to a hospital for an ok to book. When the day Watch Commander spoke with the suspect at 0732, he discovered Suspect (1) had not gone to the hospital. Suspect (1) was transported to Cedars-Sinai at 0933 hours.</p> <p>Subject was the supervisor at the sub-station on the night of the incident. Subject was told by the night Watch Commander to have Suspect (1) treated at a hospital and failed to do so.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: The charges against Subject were appropriate. However, OIR's opinion is that both Watch Commanders should also have been added as subjects. The night commander for failure to supervise; the day commander to determine the reason for the nearly 2 hour lapse between when he learned Suspect (1) had to go to the hospital and when the transport happened. OIR chose not to press this issue above the level of Executive Force Review. Findings: OIR concurrence as to this subject. Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Performance to Standards , Care of Prisoners, Reporting Force (which includes the need for medical treatment)</p>	<p>3 day suspension</p>	<p>Grievance is pending.</p>
<p>Vehicle pursuit involving a suspected stolen car turned into foot pursuit. While partner returned to the radio car, one deputy trailed the suspect and saw him attempt to carjack a passing vehicle. Deputy grabbed the suspect, who drew a gun. Deputy killed suspect in the ensuing struggle.</p>	<p>Case presented at Executive Force Review on 02/27/03. Panel ordered that an IAB investigation be opened regarding the circumstances of the foot pursuit. OIR concurred. Case still pending.</p>	<p>Investigation still pending.</p>		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Deputy (1) allegedly coerced suspect into signing a consent to search waiver after deputies illegally searched the location. Deputy (2) allegedly falsely documented the events in the arrest report, at the direction of Deputy (1). Both Deputies (1) and (2) then testified regarding the events repeating the alleged falsities.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate after some discussion. Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded for Performance to Standards, Duties of Deputies, Reporting Information, Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders, False Statements, False Information in Records and False Statements in a Departmental investigation. Unresolved for Warrantless Arrest in Dwellings.</p> <p>Deputy (2) Founded for Performance to Standards, Duties of Deputies, and False Statements in a Departmental investigation. Unfounded for False Statements in Records and Performance to Standards relating to courtroom testimony.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Discharge</p> <p>Deputy (2): 15 day suspension</p>	<p>Deputy (1) has appealed discipline to the Civil Service Commission.</p> <p>Deputy (2) has a pending grievance.</p>
<p>Deputies tackled an inmate who was running toward a group of inmates, possibly to attack them and used excessive force to subdue him and may have struck him after he was handcuffed. One deputy coerced the inmate into signing a false statement about the injuries. Another deputy threatened witness inmates if they cooperated with investigators.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence</p> <p>Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee final disposition on 04/10/03.</p>	<p>Deputies (1), (2) & (6) unresolved for Force and Failure to Report Force. Deputy (3) Unfounded as to Force, Founded for Failure to Report Force. Deputy (4) unfounded for Force, unresolved for Failure to Report Force, founded for Obstruction of an Investigation. Deputy (5) unresolved for Withholding Medical Attention. Deputies (1) & (2) unfounded for Force; Unresolved for Failure to Report Force. Deputy (2) founded for Performance to Standards, Obstruction of an Investigation and False Statements. Deputies (3) & (6) unresolved for Force and Failure to Report Force. Deputy (4) unresolved for Withholding Medical Care. Deputy (5) unfounded for Force; founded for Failure to Report Force.</p>	<p>N/A for deputies (1), (3), (4) & (6). 5 day suspension for Deputy (5). Discharge for Deputy (2).</p>	<p>Discipline imposed 07/03</p>
<p>Four deputies forcibly removed an inmate from cell without proper authorization. Inmate cut one of them in the ensuing struggle. One deputy reported falsely about the incident.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate after input from OIR and Executive Force Review Committee panel. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p> <p>Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 05/08/03 for disposition.</p>	<p>Deputy (1) founded for Obedience to Laws and Failure to Report Use of Force. Deputy (2) founded for Obedience to Laws. Deputy (3) unfounded. Deputy (4) unfounded.</p>	<p>15 day suspension for Deputy (1). 5 day suspension for Deputy (2). N/A for Deputy (3). N/A for Deputy (4).</p>	<p>Letter of intent 07/03</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Due to confusion over inmate names, a nurse gave an inmate an incorrect injection (insulin rather than anticlotting medication). The nurse told a second nurse about the mistake and asked that nurse not tell anyone. Neither nurse notified a supervisor about the incident until confronted three days later.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Both nurses founded for Safeguarding Persons in Custody, Performance to Standards, and Failure to Report. Nurse (1) also founded for False Statements.</p>	<p>Nurse (1): 15 day suspension Nurse (2): 10 day suspension</p>	<p>Discipline imposed 07/03</p>
<p>Two deputies had argued upon occasion. One deputy then attacked the other without provocation and punched the other deputy twice in the face. The victim deputy declined to prosecute.</p>	<p>OIR did not monitor this case until final decision on punishment. Investigation: N/A Charges: N/A Findings: N/A Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Obedience to Laws, Workplace violence and Performance to Standards.</p>	<p>30 day suspension</p>	<p>Letter of intent 08/03</p>
<p>Subject was arrested for spousal assault against husband. Husband stated he and the Subject had been married for three months during which the Subject assaulted him on numerous occasions. He said on this morning, he confronted the Subject about his belief she was having an affair with another Department member and asked to see her cellular telephone in order to help him verify his suspicions. Husband said the Subject struck him with the phone on the side of the head then asked him if he wanted to “see it again.” The strike left a welt and bruise on his left eye.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Family Violence and General Behavior</p>	<p>15 day suspension</p>	<p>Pending imposition</p>
<p>Subject Deputy told Department personnel that he had permission from Subject Sergeant to take ten life vests, which were County property. After receiving the vests, Subject Deputy sold three vests separately on e-Bay. Pursuant to Subject Sergeant’s request, Subject Deputy removed a fourth vest from e-Bay and returned the remaining seven vests to the County. Subject Deputy never asked for, or received, permission to take the life vests for his personal use. Subject Sergeant failed to document properly the serial numbers of the life vests and such inaction created, in part, an opportunity for the loss of three life vests.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. OIR requested additional witness interviews. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence regarding Subject Deputy; LASD concurrence regarding Subject Sergeant.</p>	<p>Founded as to Subject Deputy for (1) General Behavior, (2) Care of County Property and Equipment and/or Misappropriation of County Property, and (3) False Statements and/or Making False Statements During Departmental Internal Investigation.</p>	<p>Subject Deputy: Discharge Subject Sergeant: 3 days suspension</p>	<p>Letters of Intent on 06/06/03</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>It is alleged that Subject Deputy (1) has had multiple contacts with a local police department. The first occurred when the police responded to the residence of his girlfriend in regard to a possible domestic violence/911 hang up. Upon arrival, both were less than forthright with officers about circumstances surrounding the call.</p> <p>The second contact occurred when Subject Deputy (1) was cited for failing to stop at a red light. Later that date, officers responded to the girlfriend's residence regarding a "vehicle racing" call. The Deputy was cited for racing with a motorcycle. It is alleged he was "verbally abusive" towards officers. Subject Custody Assistant was alleged to have interfered with officers who were questioning the Subject.</p> <p>The third incident occurred when an anonymous call was made to the police department alleging loud music at the girlfriend's residence. After numerous attempts, officers were unable to make contacts with any residents. A truck registered to the Deputy was cited for violation of parking in a front yard.</p>	<p>Investigation: Inadequate due to failure to complete investigation within one year statute of limitations. The investigator inaccurately calculated the statute of limitations date.</p>	<p>Case inactivated</p>	<p>None</p>	
<p>This investigation pertains to an on-duty incident where a custody assistant was allegedly notified of inappropriate conduct between a female deputy and a female inmate, but failed to notify a Department supervisor of this information. A female inmate who witnessed the inappropriate conduct between the deputy and the involved inmate and who had notified the custody assistant, brought this information to the attention of another deputy who then notified a Department supervisor.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurred Discipline: LASD concurred.</p>	<p>Deputy: Founded as to Fraternization with inmates and General Behavior. C/A: Founded as to Performance to Standards</p>	<p>Deputy: Discharge C/A: 5 day suspension</p>	<p>Deputy: Pending civil service hearing C/A: Imposed</p>
<p>It is alleged that Deputies (1) and (2) made illegal entry and search of suspect's residence. Deputy (1) located narcotics then allegedly coerced suspect into signing a consent to search form by choking him with his baton. It is further alleged that the deputies filed a false police report documenting the incident and gave untruthful testimony during court proceedings. The arrest resulted from a narcotics investigation in the City of Hawthorne, based on an anonymous informant.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Adequate after OIR input Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputies (1) & (2) founded for Obedience to Laws, Reporting Information, Performance to Standards, Vice/Narcotics Duties, and Use of Informants. Unresolved for False Statements and False Information in Records.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): 15 day suspension Deputy (2): 8 day suspension</p>	<p>Letter of Intent Grievances ongoing</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>It is alleged that the Subject pushed his wife against a wall during an argument. According to the police report, subject's wife told police officers she lightly struck her head as a result. In fear for her safety, she ran out of the bathroom and telephoned 911 for help. The officers did not observe any injuries on subject's wife nor did she complain of any pain or injury in regards to the incident. Wife recanted to IAB investigator and Subject denied assaulting wife.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurred Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>Unresolved as to family violence due to insufficient evidence</p>	<p>N/A</p>	
<p>Deputy investigated a store theft, then voided the investigation form to cancel the file number, indicating that the informant was not desirous of prosecution of a shoplifter. Watch Sergeant later determined that the informant store manager was indeed desirous of prosecution.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Disobedience to Rules, Performance Below Standards and False Statements.</p>	<p>15 day suspension</p>	<p>Discipline imposed on 6/03</p>
<p>It is alleged that Deputies (1) and (2) made a suspect sign a consent-to-search form after they arrested her for possession of a controlled substance (Methamphetamine) and telling her that the form was a booking form.</p>	<p>Investigation: Inadequate. Investigator completed investigation too close to the one-year statute and investigation was not thorough. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to Performance to standards and General Behavior as to both deputies</p>	<p>Deputy (1): 15 day suspension Deputy (2): 5 day suspension</p>	<p>Imposed</p>
<p>Subject supervisor inappropriately and unprofessionally ordered a deputy to leave a meeting. Deputy alleged that the supervisor had become angry and raised his voice and ordered him to leave after he respectfully questioned the methodology for preparing a spreadsheet.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>Unfounded for Manner of Giving Orders, General Behavior and Conduct Toward Others</p>	<p>N/A</p>	
<p>Suspect fled when deputy questioned and attempted to detain him. Deputies set up containment. After suspect shot at them from roof top, Deputies returned fire, killing suspect.</p>	<p>Force review investigation thorough. One of two fatal shots turns out to be self-inflicted. Executive Force Review 09/11/03 concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>N/A</p>		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>After a vehicle pursuit, LASD personnel ordered a suspect from his car. A woman and another man approached the suspect's car. LASD personnel ordered the man and woman away from the suspect's car. The suspect waved a handgun toward the man, woman and LASD personnel. The man left the suspect's car, and the woman refused. Subject Sergeant ordered LASD personnel to fire one stun bag round at the woman. The stun bag round struck the woman and caused her to fall to the ground. Immediately after the deployment of the stun bag round, other LASD personnel fired at the suspect.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurred Discipline: OIR concurred</p> <p>Executive Force Review Committee reviewed the case twice. Initially, with OIR's concurrence, Executive Force Review Committee ordered further investigation of Subject Sergeant. Subsequently, Executive Force Review Committee found that Subject Sergeant's conduct violated Department policy.</p>	<p>Founded as to Performance to Standards.</p>	<p>Subject: 8 days suspension and appropriate training required.</p>	
<p>While deputies detained two home invasion robbery suspects, a third suspect drove up and pointed a gun at them. Deputies shot and killed third suspect.</p>	<p>Executive Force Review concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.</p>			
<p>Fleeing suspect abandoned car on an overpass. Foot pursuit by one deputy circled back to waiting deputy. Suspect pointed gun at second deputy. Deputy fired at suspect, wounding him. Suspect then shot himself in the head, fatally.</p>	<p>Case was presented to Executive Force Review Committee on September 25, 2003. OIR concurred with Panel's determination that subjects' actions were in policy, and that charges should be unfounded.</p>	<p>Unfounded for Excessive Force and Performance to Standards.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	
<p>Deputy responded to "man with a gun" call. Suspect pulled gun from his waist. Deputy fired at suspect, wounding him.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review.</p>			
<p>Deputy involved shooting. Suspect moved toward deputy with axe. Suspect deceased.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review</p>			
<p>Suspect charges at deputies with acid, deputies fire at suspect, fatally wounding him.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate</p> <p>Executive Force Review determined no policies implicated. OIR concurrence.</p>			
<p>Deputies fire as suspect aggressively backs out of driveway and flees. Minor wound. See also OIR #020012.</p>	<p>At Executive Force Review Committee meeting of September 25, 2003, Panel adopted OIR's recommendation that both deputies be made the subject of a formal administrative investigation into the shooting incident.</p>	<p>Investigation still pending.</p>		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Deputies encountered a man carrying a handgun in his right hand. As the armed man ran, he fired one round from his gun. Subject Deputy pointed his weapon at the man and ordered him to stop. As the man reached towards his waistband, Subject Deputy fired two rounds. Both rounds missed the man whom deputies took into custody without further incident. Near the location from where the deputies heard the initial gunshot, they recovered a .38 caliber revolver.	Pending Executive Force Review			
Deputy believed suspect in vehicle, who was being fired at by unknown persons, was about to fire at him. Deputy discharged one round, missing suspect.	Pending Executive Force Review			
Deputies stopped stolen vehicle. Suspect exited vehicle pointing gun at deputies. Deputies fire at suspect, killing him.	Pending Executive Force Review			
Deputies responded to a "shots fired" call. Suspect was located in the rear house of a cul-de-sac. As the deputies attempted to gain access to the house, they heard two gun shots, one of which hit Deputy (1). Deputy (2) fired one round from his shotgun in the direction that he believed the rounds were coming from, into the house and through the door. SEB eventually made entry into the location and found the suspect deceased with both wounds from the shotgun and a self-inflicted gunshot to the head. Deputy (1) died from the gunshot wound he received.	Investigation: Thorough Pending Executive Force Review on 10/09/03.			
Deputy chased bicycle violation suspect into back yard. Suspect fires at deputy, missing him. Deputy returns fire, striking suspect in the left leg.	Pending Executive Force Review.			
Vehicle stopped for traffic violation. Suspect flees on foot. During pursuit of suspect, suspect aims gun at deputies. Deputy fires, striking suspect once and killing him.	OIR recommended further interviews of the shooting deputy based on forensic evidence that the gun had been altered. Case is still being reviewed by the District Attorney's Office.			
Stabbing suspect pursued from crime scene by deputies, drives into business parking lot, stops and fires assault rifle at deputies, who take cover and return fire, killing suspect.	Pending Executive Force Review on 10/23/03.			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Deputies observed car jacking. Two suspects noticed deputies and ran. Deputies followed suspects to alley where suspects took out weapons and fired at patrol car. Both deputies jumped out and returned fire, killing both suspects.	Pending Executive Force Review.			
Subject Custody Assistant was allegedly involved in acquisition and use of stolen credit cards.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to General Behavior and Obedience to Laws	Discharge reduced to 30-day suspension immediately before civil service hearing due to necessary in-custody witnesses refusing to testify	
Two deputies saw a parked vehicle with two occupants who appeared to be gang members. They asked the driver for his license. The driver instead struck Deputy (1) on his chest, started the car and drove off. The deputies chased the car, which crashed into a fence. The driver then pointed a handgun at Deputy (1), who fired several rounds before the suspects surrendered.	Case presented to Executive Force Review on 05/08/03. Panel concluded no policy violations, but recommended specific tactical training for both deputies. OIR concurred.	N/A		
It is alleged that during an argument, Subject civilian employee allegedly pointed a handgun at his neighbor. Subject cooperated with LAPD but denied allegation. Subject admitted to a heated argument with neighbor.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to General Behavior	Written Reprimand	Imposed
Deputy responding to an assistance call from other deputies who were in foot pursuit of suspect spotted two juveniles running. Deputy followed one of them with patrol car, which struck and caused juvenile to fall and injure his arm and hand. Juvenile also alleged that deputy kicked him in the side while handcuffing him.	Investigation: N/A (investigated prior to OIR oversight) Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: LASD consulted OIR during grievance process. OIR recommended that original discipline level (30 days) stand. LASD concurred.	Founded for Unreasonable Force, Failure to Report Force, Obedience to Laws and False Statements	30 day suspension	Discipline imposed 01/03.
Subject Deputy detained two men regarding a "vandalism now" call. A sergeant responded to Subject Deputy's request for back-up and a taser. Upon the sergeant's arrival, Subject Deputy spoke briefly to the men and released them without obtaining any identification. Subject Deputy then lied to the sergeant, saying he had obtained the men's identification.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: Pending unit review Discipline: Pending unit review	Pending unit review		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
It was alleged that Subject (1) forged the signature of a D.A. on a misdemeanor complaint form. Subject (2) accompanied Subject (1) on that day.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Subject (1): Founded as to General Behavior and Performance to Standards. Subject (2): Unfounded on each charge.	Subject (1): Due to further investigation revealing that DA intended to file case, forgot to sign form and would have given deputy permission to sign it, discharge reduced to: demotion from Bonus Deputy to deputy Generalist and transfer out of Narcotics Bureau and 15 day suspension.	Subject (1): Imposed based on settlement agreement wherein deputy waived right to appeal
It is alleged that Deputy (1) struck a male adult in the face then failed to report the use of force. Deputy (2) also failed to report the use of force. Both deputies also failed to log the contact.	Investigation: The investigation was unnecessarily delayed, resulting in inability to interview witness who provided videotape. Because Department had videotape, prejudice is likely minimal. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Deputy (1): Founded for Unreasonable Force, Reporting Force, Performance to Standards, Obedience to Laws, False Statements. Deputy (2): Founded for Performance to Standards	Deputy (1): 30 day suspension Deputy (2): 3 day suspension	Deputy (1): Grievance pending Deputy (2): suspension reduced to 1 day as a result of grievance
Deputy reported a missing automatic rifle. LASD conducted a criminal investigation as to possible theft and then opened an administrative case against subject for possible negligence and failure to report.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence.	Founded for failure to report information to supervisor.	Written reprimand.	
Off-duty collision by deputy with another motorist who attempted to make a left turn in front of him without yielding resulted in a fatality. Deputy allegedly behaved improperly when he identified himself as a Deputy Sheriff to several witnesses. Subsequent investigation determined Deputy's speed prior to the collision to be 70 miles per hour. Based on this information, Deputy was determined to be the party most at fault.	Investigation: Thorough after OIR requested follow-up Charges: Appropriate Findings: Discipline:	Pending		
Subject Deputy arrested a man and then failed to search properly the arrestee's property. Upon arrestee's transfer to an LASD custody facility, deputies found drugs, drug paraphernalia, money and a lighter in the arrestee's bulk property.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: Pending unit review Discipline: Pending unit review	Pending unit review		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Female alleges she was raped by Deputy, her ex-boyfriend, in his home.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unfounded	N/A	
Subject violated the Department's policies and procedures related to purchasing and service contracts.	Investigation: Adequate No discussion with OIR regarding discipline recommendation.	Unfounded		
Subject Deputy and complainant, a long-time companion, were concluding their relationship. Subject Deputy assaulted the complainant during a dispute over personal and community property.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unresolved as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders; and Family Violence.		
Deputy received a direct order to detain an apparently mentally ill probationer at a fast food restaurant, who claimed to be a law enforcement officer and carried a pellet gun, and take him to a mental health facility for assessment. Deputy instead took the detainee to detainee's residence and took no further action.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate after conferring with station captain. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Failure to Follow Order and for Giving Incomplete Information to Probation Officer	3 day suspension	Imposition pending
Deputy was drinking in a bar off-duty with friends. When he left, the bar security guard noticed that the deputy was concealing a beer bottle and called him back. The deputy discarded the bottle but refused to give identification and ran away, possibly displaying a firearm at the time in the deputy's waistband. The security guard chased the deputy into another bar, where he was rude, uncooperative and may have engaged in some physical resistance before being handcuffed.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR advocated founded as to both charges with some factual modifications. Discipline: OIR did not concur with the discipline determined by the commander and advocated a substantial suspension.	Founded	Written Reprimand	Letter of Intent
Subject security assistant was involved in a domestic violence incident with his estranged wife.	Investigation: Adequate. However, OIR recommended re-interview of the witness in light of severity of proposed discipline. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded for Family Violence and General Conduct.	Discharge.	After Skelly hearing, OIR concurred with LASD finding to reduce the discipline with 30 days, pursuant to a settlement agreement that included strict consequences for future misconduct.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Deputies were serving inmate dinner. Deputy (1) opened the door to cell to give inmate his dinner. Inmate rushed the door, ran out, and swung his closed fist at Deputy (1). Deputy (1) took inmate down to the ground where a struggle ensued. During the struggle, inmate was “kneed” in the face, which caused him to sustain a broken nose.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>On 6/26/03, Executive Force Review Committee found force used was within policy</p>		
<p>Suspect detained by deputy was uncooperative and appeared to be concealing something, possibly a firearm, in the small of his back. When deputy attempted to use a control hold to conduct a pat down search, suspect broke free and ran. Within 15 minutes, a “floating containment” located suspect in a back yard. A brief struggle ensued involving 3 deputies where several punches were thrown. Suspect taken into custody, handcuffed and walked to the sidewalk without further incident. Suspect collapsed, and was treated at the scene by paramedics and transported to LAC/USC General Hospital for follow up.</p>	<p>Force review investigation adequate. Possible pre-existing medical condition. Executive Force Review 6/26/03. Panel concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.</p>			
<p>The estranged wife of the Subject deputy alleged that the he deliberately struck her with his vehicle during their weekly court ordered child custody exchange. This happened off-duty, when the couple was arguing while the wife leaned into the Subject’s car attempting to secure a child’s car . The Subject tried to get the wife to leave his vehicle while he inched it forward with the door still open, possibly striking the wife on the thigh.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings and Discipline: OIR reviewed after the fact but agrees with outcome due to equivocal photographic evidence and Complainant’s refusal to be interviewed by IAB.</p>	<p>Unfounded for Obedience to Laws</p>	<p>N/A</p>	
<p>Compton station received a call of a man with a gun. When deputies arrived, they were met by a frantic victim, who said that the man inside had pointed a gun at her, and that the gun was now in his back pocket. Deputies approached the open front door of the residence and saw Suspect in the doorway, hiding his hands. Deputies deployed significant force in subduing the resistant subject, and eyewitnesses later challenged the deputies’ version of events.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>Unresolved as to Excessive Force.</p>	<p>None.</p>	
<p>Arrestee under the influence of drugs is arrested by two deputies, escapes from back window of patrol car and fights with Deputy 1, wrestling with and choking him. Deputy 2 struck arrestee 2-3 times in the head, with his flashlight to stop him from choking Deputy 1.</p>	<p>Executive Force Review 6/26/03. Panel concluded some officer safety issues but no policy violations; no further investigation necessary. OIR concurred</p>	<p>N/A</p>		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Between May 1, 2001 and August 22, 2001, approximately \$3,500 was stolen from the petty cash drawer. In October 2001, after being ordered not to take any cash at the service station, a LET allegedly took cash.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate, after additional interviews requested by OIR Charges: Appropriate after OIR input Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>LET: Founded for Safeguarding Money and Obedience to Orders Lt.: Founded for Supervisory Responsibilities CSA: Founded for Safeguarding Money and Reporting Information Sgt.: Unfounded</p>	<p>LET: Discharge Lt.: 7 day suspension CSA: 30 day suspension Sgt.: N/A</p>	<p>LET: Imposed Lt.: Modified to 4 days suspension with 2 days held in abeyance CSA: Grievance pending</p>
<p>Deputies observed a robbery in progress at a motel office. They radioed for assistance, which was updated to a shots fired call. Additional deputies responded and contained the location. One suspect walked out to the street and surrendered. Another suspect, armed with a sawed-off shotgun, walked in and out of the motel entrance several times. The suspect yelled obscenities, threw money, and defied the deputies' orders to drop the gun and surrender. At one point, the suspect fired a round from his shotgun toward deputies. Seven deputies returned fire and the suspect retreated into the motel. The suspect again went in and out of the motel, refusing to surrender. Finally, the suspect talked to desk personnel via a 9-1-1 line and requested treatment from paramedics. The suspect walked out to the street and surrendered to SEB personnel. The suspect had been shot twice and was treated at LCMC.</p>	<p>Executive Force Review on 06/12/03 found no policy violations despite some command and control issues. OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>Deputies arrested two juveniles for Attempted Robbery. The juveniles were transported to station and placed in the non-secure detention cage. During the booking process, the deputies left the juveniles in the station while they responded to one or more calls. One of the juveniles escaped from the detention area. The escaped juvenile was later apprehended a few blocks from the station. The investigation resulted in the deputies providing conflicting information that was inconsistent with computerized records.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough, but delayed Charges: Appropriate with OIR input Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded for Safeguarding Persons in Custody, Performance to Standards, False Statements and Reporting Information Deputy (2): Founded for Safeguarding Persons in Custody, Performance to Standards, False Statements and Reporting Information</p>	<p>Deputy (1): 15 day suspension Deputy (2): 15 day suspension</p>	<p>Letter of Intent</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Two deputies on patrol were flagged down by wife who told them that her estranged husband was violating a restraining order. At the residence, the deputies contacted the husband, talked with him and attempted to walk him to their patrol car. The husband appeared cooperative then suddenly began to fight with the deputies. After an extended struggle during which the husband carried and dragged both deputies through the house and yard, Deputy 1 placed a carotid restraint on the husband who lost consciousness. The deputies immediately discovered the husband was not breathing and had no pulse. They began CPR and called paramedics. The husband was airlifted to a hospital where he was pronounced dead. Coroner discovered severe prior infirmities.</p>	<p>Executive Force Review on 6/26/03 found no policy violations because husband's aggression relatively unforeseeable and use of carotid restraint appropriate and limited. OIR concurrence. No further investigation.</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>It is alleged that two deputies detained and handcuffed a 13 year-old female. Prior to and during the handcuffing of the female, it is alleged that force was used by the deputies which was not reported. Deputies did not log their contact with the female. It is further alleged that the deputies used derogatory language during their contact with the thirteen year old.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence</p>	<p>Recommended outcomes include founded for failure to report force and false statements in administrative investigation, founded for performance to standards.</p> <p>OIR recommended 20 day suspensions for both subjects.</p>	<p>Imposition pending; review continues at Division level.</p>	
<p>During an investigation for possible driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, LASD personnel and Los Angeles County Fire Department Paramedics found Subject Deputy slumped over the wheel of his car in the middle of the street. Subject Deputy was belligerent and uncooperative with both Fire Department paramedics and LASD personnel and refused to provide a breath, urine or blood sample. Subject Deputy was arrested for DUI.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: N/A Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>See OIR #020508</p>	<p>See OIR #020508</p>	
<p>Complainant alleged Sergeant inappropriately involved himself in a dispute between Complainant and an individual owning property adjacent to Complainants.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough after follow-up interviews requested by OIR Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>Unresolved</p>		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>The incident began as a call for service regarding witness intimidation. Deputy (1) arrived and saw a male attempting to break and to enter into what appeared to be a closed business. The incident escalated when the suspect broke out the business' front window and entered. Deputy (1) followed the suspect inside in fear that persons inside would be harmed by the suspect. While inside the location Deputy (1) and the suspect became involved in an altercation where the suspect attempted to take Deputy (1)'s gun, which resulted in a non- hit shooting.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>On 08/28/03, Executive Force Review found force used was within policy</p>		
<p>A BMW was carjacked by two males. As the handling deputy was putting out her crime broadcast, a nearby unit spotted the suspect vehicle. As the second patrol unit was advising others they followed the suspect vehicle, the suspects suddenly slowed the car, jumped out and ran, splitting up. Deputies began a foot pursuit of the passenger suspect. This suspect ran to a driveway where further running was blocked by a fence. The suspect, who was facing away from Deputy (1), made movements at his waistband, then lunged forward. Believing the suspect had armed himself, Deputy (1) fired one round. The suspect was not hit and jumped over a fence into an adjoining yard. Deputy (1) followed him, but his partner, Deputy (2) did not. Deputy (2) ran around to the front of the yard. Eventually the suspect was taken into custody.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough On July 24, 2003 this shooting was reviewed by the Executive Force Review Committee. The Committee recommended that an administrative investigation be commenced regarding whether Deputy (1) and Deputy (2) performed to the expected standards. OIR concurred.</p>			
<p>Deputy was working in plain clothes as part of the surveillance operation which was targeting commercial burglaries. He interrupted a burglary in progress and one of the suspects attempted to run him over with a van. Deputy shot at the suspect van. Based on the initial observations of the scene, it appeared as though Deputy fired at the suspect vehicle as it was fleeing. Sergeant was responsible for planning and implementing the operation.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. OIR corrected some inaccurate summaries and discussed use of leading questions with investigator Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence This case was reviewed by the Executive Force Review Committee on July 24, 2003.</p>	<p>Deputy: Executive Force Review Committee recommended founded for Performance to Standards. Unresolved for Use of Deadly Force. Sergeant: Executive Force Review Committee recommended founded for Performance to Standards</p>	<p>Deputy: Executive Force Review Committee recommended founded for Performance to Standards. Unresolved for Use of Deadly Force. Sergeant: Executive Force Review Committee recommended founded for Performance to Standards</p>	<p>Pending final review and Letter of Intent</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Deputy was the subject of a random drug urinalysis. The test result showed the presence of Methamphetamine and metabolized Amphetamine in a concentration to indicate that Deputy was possibly using Methamphetamine. Deputy was relieved of duty and told to remain at home.	Investigation: Adequate, but investigation into subject's claim of accidental ingestion should have been more thorough. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded	Discharge	Pending Skelly
Subject Nurse documented Subject Doctor's orders of complete blood count and urinalysis. Neither Subject Nurse nor Subject Doctor placed this order into the computer. Subject Doctor examined an inmate patient who had low blood pressure and was previously diagnosed with a history of hypertension and Subject Doctor documented in the medical records "doubt hypertension." Despite the previous diagnosis and low blood pressure reading, Subject Doctor diagnosed inmate patient with upper respiratory infection and failed to order additional tests and medication.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review			
Deputy, working a one man unit, observed a male and female yelling at each other in an alley and stopped to check the welfare of both parties. Female became belligerent, and engaged deputy in a physical confrontation that eventually involved his deployment of O.C. spray and a takedown. Female broke her leg during the encounter.	Case was presented to Executive Force Review Committee on September 11, 2003. OIR agreed with Panel's finding that no further action was required.	N/A	N/A	
While on routine patrol, Subject Deputies attempted to make a traffic stop of a civilian's car for code violations. The civilian left his car, and Subject Deputy (1) tackled the civilian and fell on top of him. Subject Deputy 92) fractured the civilian's ribs by intentionally placing his knee in the civilian's back. Subject Deputies dragged the civilian on the pavement and caused him facial injuries.	Investigation: on going Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review			
The complainant alleges that the Subject—her now-estranged husband, bit her on her left biceps as she attempted to wrestle his service weapon from his control after he had threatened to commit suicide. Subject acknowledges aspects of the encounter but claims to have been defending himself from his wife's aggression.	Investigation: Adequate. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded for domestic violence.	7 day suspension	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Unauthorized pursuit at high speed without appropriate lights or siren. Patrol car hit an uninvolved vehicle, then crashed into the shoulder.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Dangerous Driving and Unauthorized Pursuit	15 day suspension	Letter of Intent
Technician accessed and printed criminal history of an acquaintance's ex-wife's boyfriend and gave it to the acquaintance, then made false statements to investigators about the incident.	Investigation: Thorough [Unit investigator agreed to follow-up investigation suggested by OIR.] Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Misuse of Communications Equipment, Disclosure of Confidential Information and False Statements.	15 day suspension	Discipline imposed 8/06/03
Deputy allegedly used flashlight to strike suspect on the head while suspect attempted to run from the deputy.	Pending Executive Force Review			
It is alleged that Deputy grabbed his live-in girlfriend by the arms and pushed her to the ground. She sustained bruises on both arms and abrasions to her left forearm.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings LASD concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unfounded		
Deputy was accused of stalking and harassing his ex-wife, also a deputy.	Pending unit commander evaluation.			
Suspect was shot and killed by deputies after pointing .45 caliber pistol at deputies.	Investigation: Adequate Executive Force Review determined no further action necessary. OIR concurred.			
Deputies on patrol chased a possibly armed man down a driveway and ordered him to the ground. He complied and deputy handcuffed him. He had a prior gunshot wound to his stomach, which he said was hurt when the deputies "stomped" on his back. Deputies requested field sergeant and paramedics. The man had no weapon and was not arrested. He was transported to hospital, but left prior to treatment.	Executive Force Review on 8/28/03. Panel concluded no policy violations; tactical problems could be addressed through debriefing. OIR concurred. No further investigation.	N/A		
Subject, a cook at a jail facility, refused to submit to a for-cause drug test after reliable informant alleged that he was a regular drug user.	Investigation: Adequate. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded for failure to submit to the drug test.	Discharge.	After a Skelly hearing (attended by OIR), LASD maintained its original intent to discharge, with OIR concurrence.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Subject was involved in a traffic collision wherein he rear-ended a vehicle driven who was stopped for a red light. During their exchange of information, subject provided the other party with a false address, phone number, auto insurance, CDL, etc. During a subsequent investigation by Ontario Police discovered that subject had deliberately changed at least one number in each category that he provided to the other party. When confronted about this, subject provided false statements to detectives.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence</p>	<p>Discharge</p>	<p>Pending Skelly</p>	
<p>Subject failed to seatbelt a prisoner while transporting him for agents. When subject stopped at a stop sign, prisoner slid forward in the back seat of radio car and struck his face on the metal screen dividing front and back seats. As a result, prisoner suffered fractured nose.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for violation of policy re safeguarding prisoners.</p>	<p>5 day suspension; imposition pending</p>	
<p>08/09/02 (first incident) Subject responded to domestic disturbance call. Subject did not write any documentation for either attempted burglary by ex-boyfriend or the non-criminal domestic violence incident.</p> <p>09/12/02 (second incident) Subject had female passenger in his patrol car while on duty without prior approval nor processing required waiver/agreement form for ride along. Subject requested to respond to station immediately and arrived about three hours later.</p> <p>11/14/02 (third incident) Subject was eating breakfast inside a restaurant and did not acknowledge his radio car or MDT. Additionally, MDT indicated he was handling a disturbance call at the time he was eating.</p> <p>11/15/02 (fourth incident) Subject visited a stripper at her place of employment while on duty. Subject also threatened stripper and her boyfriend when she tried to break off her relations with the Subject. Subject called LAPD claiming stripper was being kidnaped by her boyfriend resulting in a felony stop by LAPD.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate after OIR input Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Performance to Standards, Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders, False Information in Record, Obstructing an Investigation, and General Behavior</p>	<p>Discharge</p>	<p>Letter of Imposition</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Deputy stopped a motorist who was apparently intoxicated and had damaged her vehicle, driving with only the rim on the right front side. The motorist identified herself as an employee of a County Supervisor and a personal friend of Captain (1). During the stop, Captain (1) and she were in contact through her cell phone. The motorist gave the cell phone to the Deputy with Captain (1) on it. Captain (1) allegedly interceded on the motorist's behalf and intimidated the Deputy. Captain (1) later spoke with a Field Sergeant and arrived at the location to assist with dealing with the motorist, who refused to allow the various field personnel to drive her home. Deputy relayed the events to Lieutenant, the watch commander, as they occurred. Lieutenant informed his captain, Captain (2).</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough after follow-up suggested by OIR Charges: Pending Findings: Pending Discipline: Pending</p>	<p>Captain (1): Captain (2): Lieutenant:</p>	<p>Captain (1): Captain (2): Lieutenant:</p>	
<p>Subject Deputies became involved in a use of force with a combative suspect which resulted in the suspect sustaining a laceration to his forehead and a fractured right elbow.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A</p> <p>Case was presented to Executive Force Review Committee, and Executive Force Review Committee concluded that conduct was within policy and that no further investigation was necessary. OIR concurred.</p>			
<p>Two deputies entered a large holding cell to investigate a recent disturbance. Deputy (1) tried to escort one of the inmates out of the cell. The inmate brushed the deputies hands away and the deputy grabbed him by the shoulders or neck and pushed the inmate against a wall.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review</p>			
<p>A nurse in the medical module was informed that two inmates were plotting to plant cocaine on her. She informed a supervisor who arranged to have the inmates' hospital bed areas searched. One inmate appeared to grab and swallow an object when the search began, struggled with deputies. Inmate and deputies fell to the floor. Inmate may have incurred a fracture to his wrist during this incident.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review</p>			
<p>Deputies stopped possible suspect in a shooting incident. Suspect turned and reached for his waistband, and Subject Deputy fired one round at suspect.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review</p>			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Mentally unbalanced man was armed with a rifle. Trailer park was evacuated. Negotiations and gas produced no effect. After several hours, the man appeared at the door, pointed rifle at deputies who shot at him. He retreated into trailer then came out unarmed, sat down and did not respond further. Man pronounced dead at hospital.	Pending Executive Force Review			
Deputy responding to a burglar alarm saw a man who fit a recent homicide suspect's description. He ordered the man to approach. Instead, the man crouched, reached for his waistband, turned away, turned back and raised what appeared to be a gun. The deputy fired one shot, fatally wounding the man.	Investigation ongoing.			
Deputies and sergeant responded to a domestic dispute call, were met at the door by wife who said her husband is despondent, has a gun, and went out the back. They searched the back yard and found husband sitting on the floor of a shed holding a gun. They backed away, took cover and ordered the husband to put the gun down and come out. Husband did not respond. The deputies and sergeant saw a muzzle flash and returned fire hitting the husband once in the thigh. He surrendered.	Investigation ongoing			
Off-duty deputy went to check on rental property and encountered two males – father and adult son -- who got into a fight with him. One of them attempted to use a baseball bat. Deputy used his duty weapon to hit each of them in the head. Minor lacerations.	Pending Executive Force Review			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Subject (1), a supervisor, submitted a false shooting card in an effort to meet the trimester qualifying deadline. He colluded with Subject (2), a subordinate, in accomplishing this.</p>	<p>OIR monitored the investigation from the outset and worked closely with LASD executives to ensure appropriate discipline. OIR recommended demotion for Subject (1) and also recommended specific settlement terms to promote accountability and constructive acceptance of responsibility. LASD concurred.</p>	<p>Subject (1) admitted to alleged misconduct and accepted demotion pursuant to settlement agreement. Founded for General Behavior.</p> <p>Subject (2): Founded for General Behavior.</p>	<p>Subject (1): Demotion pursuant to a settlement agreement.</p> <p>Subject (2): 10 day suspension</p>	<p>OIR continued to monitor Subject (1)'s status and his compliance with settlement terms. Subject did fulfill key terms; however, when Subject became eligible for reinstatement in August of 2003, OIR recommended extending the demotion period. This was based on a new policy violation during the period of demotion (see OIR #020597). LASD reinstated Subject in spite of OIR's recommendation.</p>
<p>Deputy in a radio car attempted to stop a juvenile on a bicycle for riding on sidewalk at night with no lights. Juvenile continued riding and pulled a handgun out of his pocket. Deputy intentionally bumped back wheel of the bicycle, causing juvenile to fall against a fence, drop the gun and break his finger.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review</p>			
<p>Deputies(1) through (4) struggled with suspect at termination of foot pursuit. Deputy (2) admitted punching suspect nine to thirteen times. One civilian reported that Deputies (1) through (3) punched suspect numerous times, even after suspect had "given up." Deputies did not use any weapons during the struggle. Suspects' injuries included swelling of the brain and facial contusions. Injuries resulted in the hospitalization of the suspect.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR disagreed with findings. Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>On 09/11/03. Executive Force Review Committee found force was necessary to overcome suspect's resistance and was within policy.</p>		
<p>Subject, a non-sworn member of the Department, and her husband got into an argument. During the course of the argument, husband allegedly slapped subject across the face and subject threw two telephones at husband. The phones apparently struck husband, causing minor scratches. Subject was subsequently arrested by LAPD.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Domestic Violence</p>	<p>5 day suspension</p>	<p>Letter of Intent</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Inmate requesting medication did not follow deputy's directions repeatedly. When ordered to wait with other inmates, inmate grabbed deputy's wrists and held them above her head. Other deputies struggled with inmate and one tackled him, bringing group to the ground. After incident, inmate complained of nose pain. Doctor reviewing x-rays could not determine if minor cartilage break was recent or from a prior injury.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review</p>			
<p>Subject Nurse 1 was in contact with an inmate during pill call. During that contact, the inmate requested a certain type of medication, and Subject Nurse 1 told the inmate that she would place him on the medical doctors' line regarding that request. There is no reference in the inmate's medical chart documenting either the inmate's request or Subject Nurse 1's response. However, a deputy documented Subject Nurse 1' response.</p> <p>On the same day, Subject Nurse 2 was in contact with the inmate. During that contact, the inmate complained of rapid heart beat. Subject Nurse 2 recorded the inmate's blood pressure. There is no reference in the inmate's medical chart documenting the inmate's complaint of rapid heart beats or blood pressure.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: Pending review Discipline: Pending review</p>			
<p>Subject Employee falsified time/attendance records and misused official letterhead.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to (1) Performance to Standards; (2) False Information in Records; (3) Employees Signature on Payroll Records; (4) Daily/Weekly Time Sheet; (5) Use of Department Letterhead; and (6) Signatures Permitted.</p>	<p>Subject: Discharge</p>	<p>Discharge approved at Case Review. Letter of intent issued.</p>
<p>Subject allegedly submitted false time records while working an unrelated second job and failed to obtain his Unit Commander's approval before working that job. It is also alleged that he violated the Department's Hazing policy while working with a reserve deputy under his supervision. In addition, subject failed to follow established protocol for submitting written communications, specifically an absence request to his immediate supervisor.</p>	<p>Investigation: Tardy, statute of limitations expired, otherwise adequate. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>Founded for Not Obtaining Authorization for Second Job. Unfounded for False Time Records, Hazing and Absence Request.</p>	<p>No discipline because of statute of limitations.</p>	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Deputies were investigating a stolen vehicle report and located the vehicle parked on the street. Deputies noticed two male Hispanics laying in the front seat of a car which was near the stolen vehicle. When Deputy (1) approached the left front corner of the suspect's vehicle, the driver sat up, started the car, revved the engine and put the car in drive. Deputy (1), believing he was about to be struck by the suspect's car, jumped on top of the hood. As the car continued, he rolled off the hood and landed on his knees in the street in front of the vehicle as it continued towards him. Surrounding deputies and Deputy (1) each shot at the suspect, all believing Deputy (1) was about to be run over. Suspect driver was pronounced dead at the scene. The suspect passenger was transported to MLK Center and was listed in critical condition.	Pending DA Review			
Suspect abandoned car after short vehicle pursuit and attempted to escape over a fence. Deputy grabbed him. In the ensuing struggle, suspect reached for Deputy's gun and Deputy shot once, killing suspect.	Homicide investigation complete. Further action awaiting completion of District Attorney review.			
Deputies interrupted drug transaction and pursued fleeing suspect. Deputy (1) grabbed suspect around the legs as he attempted to scale a fence. In reaching for suspect's upper body, Deputy (2) inadvertently struck him on the head with her flashlight.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on September 25, 2003. OIR concurred with Panel's determination that no further action was necessary.	N/A	N/A	
Two deputies in separate vehicles responded to a call of an auto burglary in progress. As deputies walked down the long, narrow driveway of the location, two suspects began to drive right at them. Both deputies eventually fired shots at the vehicle. Neither suspect was hit, and both were eventually apprehended.	Investigation is continuing.			
Suspect came out of residence with assault rifle and shot at two deputies responding to family disturbance call. One deputy returned fire, one round. Suspect retreated into street behind house and shot at patrol car driving toward scene. Third deputy returned fire. Suspect fled into a house a block away, entering it by jumping through a sealed rear window. Suspect came out of the house and gave himself up, bleeding profusely from glass cuts. No gunshot wounds to arrestee or deputies.	Pending Executive Force Review			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Deputies (1) and (2) heard gunshots. Deputy (1) saw suspect running with a handgun. Deputy fired three rounds at suspect. Suspect then ran out of sight. Suspect was eventually arrested with no evidence of injury.	Pending Executive Force Review.			
Deputies came upon parked vehicle. Deputy (1) exited from radio car and observed male passenger make suspicious movements, as if reaching for something. The passenger spun around in his seat and then raised his hands. Deputy fired one round into the van. Passenger was not struck by deputies' gunfire.		Pending Executive Force Review Committee		
Deputy encountered suspect who matched the description of a carjack suspect. Deputy exited his vehicle and called the suspect. Suspect began running while clutching his waistband. Deputy saw a gun on the suspect. Deputy pursued in radio car and caught up with suspect. Suspect then turned and pointed his gun at deputy. Deputy fired four rounds striking suspect three times in leg. A gun was found in the vicinity of the suspect.		Pending Executive Force Review Committee		
Deputies heard gunshots. When they responded, they saw two persons carrying handguns. A short pursuit followed. Suspect vehicle spun out of control. Passenger got out of vehicle and pointed handgun at deputies. Deputy fired. Deputies saw passenger on his hands knees and reach into his waistband. Fearing a handgun, Deputy again fired. The back seat passenger got out of suspect vehicle and was observed trying to climb a gate. The backseat passenger reached back and pointed a gun at the deputies. Deputy fired one round. The driver was able to avoid apprehension. The back seat passenger was eventually apprehended. The front seat passenger sustained two gunshot wounds to the buttocks. Guns were found in the vicinity of the suspects.		Pending Executive Force Review Committee		
Deputy shot at suspect after the suspect attempted to run him over with his vehicle while fleeing a bank following a forgery.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Subject Deputies approached suspect on bicycle, activate patrol car lights and order suspect to stop. Suspect does not stop, and Subject Deputies pull patrol car close to suspect. Subject Deputy 1 got out of patrol car and approached suspect. Suspect sped up on his bicycle. Subject Deputy 2 positioned patrol car to cut off suspect, and suspect dropped his bicycle and began to run. While running away, suspect pointed gun at Subject Deputies. Subject Deputy 1 fired two rounds and then a third from his weapon. Subject Deputy 2 fired one round. Suspect fell to the ground, stood up and ran into a yard. Subject Deputies set up containment with assistance from Aero Bureau and canine unit. LASD personnel located suspect and took him into custody.</p>	<p>Case was presented to Executive Force Review on October 23, 2003, and panel concluded that conduct was within policy and that no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.</p>			
<p>Two men attempted to steal a vacuum from a janitor's truck while he was cleaning the inside of a gas station mini mart. Store clerk saw suspect's actions and went outside and confronted suspects. Suspects threw vacuum at store clerk, who then ran inside store and locked doors. Suspects shouted at janitor and store clerk through front doors of mini mart while banging on glass doors with their belts. Off-duty deputy observed situation and decided to stop to render assistance. Deputy identified himself as a deputy sheriff and shouted to suspects to stop. Both suspects walked directly towards deputy, while separating from one another. One suspect produced pocket knife with blade exposed. Deputy fired one round from his weapon, striking one suspect in the chest. Other suspect ran off, but was apprehended within minutes by local police.</p>	<p>Ongoing investigation</p>			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Deputy was looking for two suspicious male suspects who had fled from him. Deputy then saw a male Hispanic walking towards him with a bicycle. Suspect dropped the bicycle, reached into his waistband and reversed direction, walking down the driveway away from deputy. Suspect disappeared behind a van while deputy took cover. The suspect reappeared pointing a handgun at Deputy. Deputy fired three rounds from his duty weapon. Suspect jumped over a small metal fence, threw his handgun down and ran to the rear yard. Deputy maintained his position and requested assistance. A containment was set up and the suspect was subsequently captured. Suspect sustained abrasions and lacerations while running from Deputy.</p>	<p>Investigation: Pending</p>			
<p>Deputies observed a vehicle committing various vehicle code violations and that also may have matched the description of an earlier homicide. A pursuit ensued. The suspect vehicle came to a stop, patrol car pulled in behind, then the suspect vehicle accelerated in reverse, striking the patrol car. Suspect driver ran out of his vehicle while pointing a gun. Deputy (1) fired three or four rounds at suspect. Suspect passenger did not attempt to escape. Suspect driver got back in his car and abruptly drove off. Suspect vehicle came to another stop and two occupants fled on foot. They were not apprehended.</p>	<p>Investigation is continuing.</p>			
<p>Deputies responded to a burglary now call. En route deputies observed the suspect vehicle, which suddenly lost control and collided into the curb. Suspect (1) ran and jumped a series of razor wire fences into an industrial complex. Suspect (2) remained and was taken into custody without incident. Suspect (1) was subsequently located. He was bleeding profusely from deep laceration on his chest, legs and groin caused from jumping the razor wire fences.</p>	<p>Force review investigation ongoing</p>			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Subject Deputies responded to a domestic call. Suspect was the ex-husband of the victim. Suspect left location before Subject Deputies' arrival, and Subject Deputies put out a broadcast with a description of suspect and suspect's van. Approximately ten minutes later, suspect is observed breaking a car's window near an intersection at a different location. Suspect fled the second location, and LASD personnel began a car pursuit of suspect. Suspect returned to ex-wife's residence and got out of his van. Suspect entered an open gate surrounding the residence and held a long metal pole. Suspect ignored commands to drop metal pole. Suspect raised metal pole at several deputies. Subject Deputies fired several rounds striking the suspect.</p>	<p>Pending presentation to Executive Force Review Committee</p>			
<p>Disturbance between two racial groups of inmates is broken up by deputies. Some inmates allege they were kicked or pepper sprayed while cooperating. Other inmates contradict this.</p>	<p>Executive Force Review on 9/11/03. Panel finds no policy violations. No further investigation necessary. OIR concurs due to contradictory evidence and lack of credible identification.</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>Case involves possible mishandling of a domestic violence 9-1-1 call.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Pending evaluation by unit commander.</p>			
<p>Deputy (and several South Gate P.D. officers) fired at suspect at the conclusion of a lengthy South Gate pursuit that ended in the Norwalk patrol area. Executive Force Review Committee found shooting to be in-policy during a February, 2003 meeting, but ordered an investigation as to the circumstances of the deputy's involvement in another agency's incident.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Unfounded for the shooting deputy and the two supervisors who potentially had culpability.</p>		
<p>Deputies responded to an audible burglary alarm call. Upon arrival, deputies did not hear an alarm sounding but did observe two open windows at the front of the location. Deputy (1) was instructed to enter the location and unlock the front door. Deputies then entered the location. Deputy (5) walked towards the kitchen area. At the same time, Deputy (1) was moving into the kitchen through another hallway. Deputy (1) inched forward with his weapon pointed out in front of him. Deputy (5) saw a gun appear from behind the refrigerator. Deputy (5) fired one round from his duty weapon, striking the top portion of the refrigerator.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review Committee on 10/09/03.</p>			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Inmate committed suicide in cell by hanging after returning from another facility for mental observation.	Investigation ongoing.			
Subject "rolled up" inmate kitchen worker for failing to report to work in a timely manner. Subject's report indicated inmate failed to follow instructions and may have resisted handcuffing. Subject did not mention using force, report force to any supervisor, or report any injury or complaint of pain by inmate.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurred Discipline: N/A	Unresolved		
Subject Custody Assistant was involved in an off-duty physical altercation while attending a party. Subject punched victim in face causing two facial fractures	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded as to General Behavior and Obedience to Laws	Discharge	Pending civil service hearing
Subject and live-in girlfriend got in a verbal argument at home. During the argument, Subject grabbed a comb out of girlfriend's hand, causing her to sustain two small abrasions on her hand.	Investigation: Adequate, after investigator did supplemental interview at OIR's request. Pending evaluation by unit commander			
While off-duty, Subject Deputy struck his wife in the forehead and pointed a .44 magnum handgun at her.	Investigation: N/A because the allegations were proven at a criminal trial. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders.	Subject: Discharged	
Subject Deputy provided false information regarding the location of an arrest and a loaded firearm to another member of LASD for inclusion in the incident/arrest report.	Investigation: Substantial delay which prevented full consultation with OIR regarding discipline. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to (1) Performance to Standards; (2) False Statements; and (3) Failure to Make Statements and/or Making False Statements During Departmental Internal Investigations.	Subject: 15 days suspension.	Letter of intent issued.
It is alleged that subject was kissing a female inmate in the inmate kitchen restroom. Inmate who allegedly witnessed incident, may have confused subject for someone else.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unresolved as to fraternization		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Inmate had been ordered released by the court on his own recognizance pending a hearing on his charges. He was in a holding cell awaiting his release when a deputy opened the cell door. Inmate forced the door open, shoved the deputy, then ran toward an open bus bay door. Other deputies tackled Inmate prior to his reaching the door, then handcuffed and leg chained him. Inmate was stood up and deputies began applying a waist-chain when Inmate suddenly spun around toward the deputies, resulting in his being taken to the floor a second time. The waist-chaining of was completed, but because of his odd non-responsive behavior, coupled with a lack of visible injuries, paramedics were called to examine Inmate. While being examined in a cell, Inmate rushed toward a paramedic. A deputy immediately grabbed his shirt in the chest/shoulder area and took him to the cement floor, his third visit to the concrete. Inmate received a small laceration above his right eye as a result of his being taken to the floor. This third use of force was captured on videotape. Inmate was taken to LCMC where because of his increasing aggression, he was placed in four point restraints. He was ultimately sedated as a result of his violent behavior. Inmate was later released on a citation after being charged with battery on a peace officer.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review</p>			
<p>Deputies conducted a pedestrian stop on suspect. A glass stimulant pipe fell out of the suspect's pants cuff. Suspect ran. Deputies tackled suspect and Sergeant arrived on scene to assist. Sergeant struck suspect twice on forearm and placed suspect's left arm in control hold. Suspect's left elbow is injured.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review</p>			
<p>Sergeant, subject's supervisor, found subject absent from her assigned work position. He left instructions for subject to call him as soon as she returned. Approximately thirty minutes later, Sergeant checked back at subject's work station and found she was still away. No one knew her whereabouts. Sergeant directed deputy personnel to attempt radio contact with subject to ascertain her location. Several attempts met with negative results. Sergeant became concerned for subject's safety.</p>	<p>Pending review by Captain</p>			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Subject and his girlfriend went drinking to a bar. While there, they began arguing and carried the argument back to his residence. Once there, they physically struggled with each other and bit one another on the hand and wrist. LAPD was called to the residence. Neither party was desirous of prosecution and did not require medical attention. Handling officers were unable to determine the dominant aggressor and listed girlfriend as the victim because she was the one who called the police.	Pending review by Captain			
This case involves use of force by two US Marines. The victim was a minor and a participant in the VIDA program, who suffered a fractured orbital.	Pending review by Captain			
Subject allegedly struck a telephone pole, knocking it over and onto his vehicle. Subject then drove his car from the scene without reporting to authorities until his car sopped working. He then called for a tow truck from his cell phone and had his car towed to his home. Fire Department responded to location due to power to the neighborhood being disrupted. They located a vehicle license plate on the street near the collision.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: Pending unit commander's decision.			
Deputy allegedly assaulted his spouse during an argument.	Investigation: Pending follow-up requested by OIR and the unit.			
Teenage complainant alleged that subject, a sergeant, used inappropriate force and was verbally belligerent when confronting him in a report writing area at the patrol station.	Investigation: Thorough. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Unresolved regarding the force. Founded for Conduct Toward Others based on admissions of inappropriate comments to the teenage arrestee.	3 day suspension	
It is alleged the subject physically assaulted her husband during an off-duty argument at their home. Her husband suffered a bite wound on his left arm and numerous visible scratch marks on his body from the subject's assault. Subject was arrested for spousal abuse.	Pending review by Captain			
Subject left a message expressing frustration with the job performance of a third-party and included ambiguous language that could potentially be interpreted as a threat. Third-party overheard the message and requested that action be taken.	Investigation: Adequate. Resolution of the case is pending.			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Parking control employee off-duty pushed her boyfriend, and may have threatened him with a knife during an argument at their home.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence, given minimal injury and ambiguous threat. Discipline: N/A	Unresolved for Obedience to Laws and Domestic Violence	N/A	
Sergeant ordered deputy to deploy stun bag at suspect when other methods to end a confrontation had apparently failed. Deputy hit suspect in the face with stun bag, causing minor injuries to his mouth.	Case is awaiting presentation to the Executive Force Review Committee.			
Deputy heard subject civilian employee make remarks that another deputy should be careful while walking in the parking lot. The subject's remarks were made after a work place argument with the other deputy.	Investigation: Inadequate due to delay in completion of investigation after expiration of statute of limitations. Charges: Appropriate Findings: Even if completed within the one-year limit, case would have been unresolved due to unclear nature of comments. Discipline: N/A	Unresolved		
Subject Deputy made threats against his ex-wife, a custody assistant.	Investigation: Ongoing Charges: Pending review Findings: Pending Review Discipline: Pending Review			
Subject allegedly used unreasonable force to restrain the inmate during an incident in a courtroom holding cell. Inmate's complaint may have been to retaliate against subject because subject reported inmate's earlier threat to harm Deputy D.A. and witness to crime inmate was charged with.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Finding: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unfounded as to unnecessary force		
Subject is alleged to have taken a set of bolt cutters from a citizen during a traffic stop. Subject also took a wood, roll top desk home for personal use. The desk and bolt cutter were recovered by ICIB.	Investigation is complete. Resolution is pending.			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>An intoxicated patron at a crowded bar picked a fight with one of three off-duty deputies there and grabbed him around the neck. Deputy (1) wrestled the patron to the ground. Deputy (2) joined the fight on the floor and helped subdue the patron with punches to the patrons legs. Deputy (3) witnessed the fight but left the bar before police arrived to investigate. Immediately after the fight, Deputy (1) followed the patron as he was escorted out of the bar by a bouncer and punched him in the face, causing injury. Deputies (1) and (2) then left the scene without seeking medical attention for the patron or reporting the event to their supervisor. Deputies (1) and (2) later gave false statements to investigators.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded for Unreasonable Force, Failure to Report Force, Failure to Take Appropriate Action.</p> <p>Deputy (2): Founded for Unreasonable Force, Failure to Report Force, Failure to Take Appropriate Action, and False Statements</p> <p>Deputy (3): Founded for Failure to Report Off-duty Incident</p>	<p>Deputy (1): No discipline possible because deputy previously retired</p> <p>Deputy (2): 25 day suspension</p> <p>Deputy (3): Written reprimand</p>	<p>Deputy (2): Letter of intent.</p> <p>Deputy (3): Written Reprimand</p>
<p>Subjects were involved in a verbal argument while en route to subject (1)'s home from a bar. Once they reached his home, subject (1) grabbed subject (2) against her wishes and carried her into the house, preventing her from leaving. Inside, a struggle ensued. Neighbors called police. Subject (2) was arrested for domestic battery.</p>	<p>Pending review by Captain</p>			
<p>Subject's girlfriend made domestic violence allegation, but later declined to prosecute. Both parties ordered to attend anger management classes.</p>	<p>Pending review by Captain</p>			
<p>Deputy allegedly used a racial slur against a Ventura County Sheriff's Sergeant. This occurred when Ventura Sheriffs were called to Deputy's residence to check on well being of the resident.</p>	<p>Investigation: Pending follow-up requested by OIR</p>			
<p>Subject employee examined a child and then failed to report child abuse as requested by law. Days later infant died from injuries caused by additional child abuse.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review</p>			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Subjects allegedly conducted routine cell search of inmate placed in separate module due to his prior aggressive conduct. A fight erupted between the deputies and the inmate. During the fight, the inmate sustained a small laceration on the back of his head. Involved inmate and witness inmate said Deputy (1) struck inmate on the head with a flashlight and spit on inmate. Deputies denied anyone struck inmate on head with flashlight. Deputy (1) reported seeing Deputy (2) spit on inmate. Deputy (1) failed to call for back up during and immediately after incident, and failed to maintain officer safety procedures. Each deputy failed to preserve evidence of inmate's blood on hallway floor.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded as to Performance to Standards and General Behavior Deputy (2): Founded as to Performance to Standards</p>	<p>Deputy (1): 3 day suspension Deputy (2): 5 day suspension</p>	
<p>Use of force which resulted in a fracture of the suspect's nose during his arrest.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review</p>			
<p>Subject Deputies became involved in a use of force with inmate, and during the use of force, the inmate suffered a fractured collar bone.</p>	<p>Pending presentation to Executive Force Review Committee.</p>			
<p>Subject is alleged to have accessed confidential information from a law enforcement database because of a personal dispute with a neighbor.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence with OIR's recommendation that subject receive more than just a written reprimand.</p>	<p>1 day suspension</p>		
<p>While on duty and in uniform, Deputy allegedly used profanity when referring to an employee of the Superior Court.</p>	<p>Pending review by Captain</p>			
<p>Subject deputy is alleged to have entered into romantic relationship with a 17-year old minor female Explorer Scout. Deputy was seen by civilians in shopping mall parking lot kissing minor. His sergeant responded to the scene, saw him and minor hugging, and ordered him to immediately report to the station.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings; LASD concurred Discipline: OIR concurred</p>	<p>Founded as to General Behavior, False Statements, Attention to Duty and Performance to Standards</p>	<p>15 day suspension</p>	<p>Pending grievance</p>
<p>Complainant lost a videotape during an on-duty encounter with subject, who admits having misplaced it but denies intentionally destroying it as complainant alleges.</p>	<p>Investigation is continuing.</p>			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Deputy claimed that many days missed due to illness were result of cancer treatment. May have lied about illness and submitted false medical documents.	Investigation ongoing			
Subject used JDIC system numerous times to locate ex-girlfriend and subsequently made contact with her based on that information.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to Communications Equipment.	5 day suspension	
Subject failed to follow procedures to safeguard personal property, i.e. live ammunition, seized during the execution of a search warrant.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review			
Subject Deputy 1 failed to arrest a parolee who was in violation of an active domestic violence restraining order which had been previously served on parolee by Subject Deputy 2. Subject Deputy 2 who witnessed Subject Deputy 1's failure neglected to take appropriate action. A day later, the parolee returned and threatened his estranged wife and engaged LASD personnel in a car pursuit and fired multiple rounds at pursuing deputies.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: no consultation with OIR before recommendation and imposition of discipline.	Subject 1: Founded as to Performance to Standards. Subject 2: Founded as to Performance to Standards.	Subject 1: 5 days suspension; without consultation with OIR, LASD subsequently amended the original recommendation of discipline to 3 days suspension Subject 2: 3 days suspension; without consultation with OIR, LASD subsequently amended the original recommendation of discipline to a written reprimand.	Letter of imposition issued. Letter of intent issued.
Female deputy was called to assist other deputies in searching female detained in traffic stop. Subject female deputy admitted she adjusted and looked underneath underwear of female to search for narcotics. None found.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders	2 day suspension	Pending imposition
Subject did not assign a call to deputy for service. Subject was rude to caller on the telephone and did not create call for service when caller asked for a deputy to respond.	Investigation ongoing.			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
Subject wrongfully cited complainant for a fare violation, was rude, insulting and confrontational and lied about previously warning complainant.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review			
Complainant brought her seventeen year old daughter to the station to file a report of unlawful sexual intercourse. Complainant said desk deputy told her it happens all the time and to get over it.	Investigation ongoing.			
Unit level investigation for possible interference with administrative investigation.	Investigation ongoing.			
Deputy responded to a report of illegal fireworks, and encountered several teenagers at a residence. Complainants alleged that he used inappropriate language, entered the residence unlawfully, and struck one of the teenagers with a flashlight.	Investigation: Adequate. Charges: Appropriate. Some of the charges were addressed in the context of a service comment report, due to insufficient evidence for further action, while the derogatory language charge became the basis for a formal administrative case. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Subject received a written reprimand for derogatory language, and it was determined that his conduct in entering the house to search for illegal fireworks "could have been better" in terms of establishing consent in a more definitive way.	Written reprimand.	
Subject Deputy approached suspect who had previously been reported as armed and when Subject Deputy made contact with the suspect, the two engaged in a struggle. During the struggle, the suspect tried to take Subject Deputy's firearm, and after pushing the suspect away, Subject Deputy fired five rounds fatally striking the suspect in his upper torso.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review			
Subject Deputy responded to a suspicious person call and, on arrival, saw a man crouching on the side of a parked car. Subject Deputy demanded that the man show his hands. Without complying to those demands, the man reached for his waistband and began to run from Subject Deputy. After running a short distance, the man pulled a metallic object from his pants. Subject Deputy fired two rounds, and one of those rounds struck the man.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
While waiting to be released, inmate was involved in an altercation with another inmate. Subsequently, inmate allegedly became belligerent, demanding to be immediately released, kicked open cell door, striking deputy with it. Deputies tackled cuffed inmate, who suffered minor scratches.	Force review investigation ongoing.			
Arrestee's finger dislocated during arrest.	Force review investigation ongoing.			
Two off-duty deputies followed a car off freeway whose occupants waived a gun at them. Suspects stopped and fired at deputies. One deputy fired back and attempted to pursue the car. No injuries.	Force review investigation ongoing.			
Inmate suffered an orbital fracture, allegedly as a result of struggling with deputies. Incident allegedly began when inmate refused to cooperate with a deputy, pulled away and advanced toward the deputy.	Force review investigation ongoing.			
After contact with Subject Deputies, two young intoxicated males were fatally struck by a civilian.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review			
During service of a search warrant on robbery suspect's residence, suspect jumps out of window, points gun at deputies and is fatally shot by deputies.	Investigation by Homicide Bureau ongoing.			
Subject Deputies deployed a canine on a barricaded young man, who claimed to have a gun, and fatally shot the young man.	Investigation: ongoing Charges: pending review Findings: pending review Discipline: pending review			
Suspect killed after firing numerous shots at deputies.	Pending investigation.			
Domestic Violence incident with civilian employee and his wife. Employee struck wife in the mouth, she slapped him and he bent her finger back.	Pending unit commander evaluation.			
An inmate struggled with deputies during transportation to another cell and several deputies joined the fight to get him handcuffed. Inmate sustained some injuries and claimed that the subject deputy had punched him in the face. Subject deputy had not reported this.	Pending unit commander evaluation.			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>A woman telephoned the station, spoke with Deputy (1) and requested assistance with recovering her property from her ex-boyfriend's house whom she feared might be hostile. Deputy (1) was discourteous and discouraging and told the caller that the press of emergency calls would mean hours of delay and advised her not to go into the location until deputies could go with her. Deputy (1) did not generate a call for service. The woman called back and spoke to Deputy (2) who generated a call for service and urged her not to go to the location without deputy assistance. The woman appeared to be cooperative but called back a short time later from the location before deputies could respond. Shortly thereafter, one of the males accompanying the woman was shot by her ex-boyfriend</p>	<p>Pending unit commander evaluation.</p>			
<p>After receiving several citizen complaints, Lieutenant noticed a potential pattern in the complaints and a potential bias in Deputy's policing efforts against females. Two complaints alleged potentially criminal misconduct, including sexual battery and assault under color of authority.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough, but delayed by the unit so that some of the incidents were not timely investigated. Charges: Pending Findings: Pending Discipline: Pending</p>			
<p>Complainants alleged that subject had sexually molested them between 1993 and 1997 when they were both under the age of 14 years old. One complainant also alleges the subject made several attempts to contact her at her place of employment and other public places for the purpose of harassing her after she reported the previous incident to the Department.</p>	<p>Investigation is complete. Resolution is pending.</p>			
<p>Allegations of fraternization and prohibited association by subject. Initial information reported that subject may have provided illegal narcotics to an inmate and also be romantically involved with him.</p>	<p>Pending unit commander evaluation.</p>			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History
<p>Subjects (a deputy and an LASD supervisor) were publicly intoxicated and behaved in an inappropriate, sexually suggestive manner with each other while off-duty and traveling on Department business.</p>	<p>The Equity Panel determined that the conduct at issue did not violate the Policy of Equality, and referred it to the appropriate Division for further consideration of other issues.</p> <p>OIR concurred with the Division Chief's finding and proposed discipline.</p>	<p>Founded for General Behavior.</p>	<p>Written reprimand for supervisor.</p> <p>Written reprimand for deputy.</p>	<p>OIR and LASD disagreed regarding the implications of this finding on a proposed reinstatement of the supervisor to a higher rank and previous command assignment. (The supervisor had been demoted in February of 2003, pursuant to a different investigation. See OIR case # 020470.) OIR believed that this new violation should preclude immediate reinstatement. LASD chose to reinstate him anyway.</p>